SUKUK: International Journal of Banking, Finance, Management and Business
Vol. 2, No. II, 2023
https://sukukjournal.org.uk/

Capital Management Strategy for Liquidity Refinancing: A study on SMEs' Restructuring Solutions

Muhammad Singgih Pamungkas

International Open University - Gambia

singgih.pamungkas@bahasa.iou.edu

Abstract

Liquidity challenges remain a critical hurdle for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), particularly during periods of economic uncertainty and financial stress. This paper illustrates the role of capital management strategies in addressing liquidity refinancing issues, offering insights into restructuring solutions that enable SMEs to maintain operational stability and ensure business continuity. By examining case studies, policy reviews, and financial performance data, the study highlights how tailored capital management approaches can support SMEs in navigating cash flow constraints and mitigating risks associated with financial distress. Through research synthesises in-depth literature reviews, government policies, and business case studies, the research delves into key questions such as: What strategies are most effective in enhancing SME liquidity? How can capital management frameworks be restructured to foster resilience? Findings reveal that proactive measures, including debt restructuring, optimising working capital, and leveraging alternative financing options, are vital for SMEs seeking to stabilise operations amid market fluctuations. Furthermore, the integration of digital tools and financial technologies emerges as a transformative solution, streamlining refinancing processes and improving access to capital. The paper underscores the importance of collaborative efforts among SMEs, financial institutions, and policymakers to develop comprehensive liquidity management frameworks. Recommendations include fostering transparent lender-borrower relationships, encouraging financial literacy initiatives, and enhancing regulatory environments to support innovative refinancing mechanisms. By advancing these strategies, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how SMEs can overcome liquidity challenges, ensuring sustainable growth and long-term economic resilience.

Keywords

Liquidity, Refinancing, SMEs, Strategy, Restructuring

Introduction

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) play a crucial role in the global economy, contributing significantly to economic growth, job creation, and innovation (Mayanja, 2022). However, SMEs often face unique challenges, particularly in terms of liquidity management and access to financing. Effective capital management strategies are essential for SMEs to navigate periods of economic uncertainty and financial instability (Hendayani *et al*., 2022).

Liquidity management is a critical aspect of financial management for SMEs, as it directly impacts their ability to meet short-term obligations and seize new opportunities (Ombworo, 2014). During times of economic stress, such as market fluctuations or global crises, SMEs may experience significant cash flow constraints, making it increasingly difficult to secure necessary financing for operations and growth (Uwonda and Okello, 2015). Consequently, the importance of implementing robust liquidity refinancing strategies has become more pronounced, as SMEs strive to maintain financial stability and resilience (Dheer and Salamzadeh, 2022).

SMEs often face unique challenges in managing their liquidity and securing refinancing options. One of the primary hurdles is the inherent cash flow constraints that many SMEs experience, particularly during periods of economic uncertainty (Mayanja, 2022). Fluctuations in market demand, supply chain disruptions, and unexpected expenses can all contribute to cash flow volatility, making it challenging for SMEs to maintain a stable financial footing (Hendayani *et al*., 2022).

SMEs may encounter significant barriers when seeking refinancing solutions from traditional financial institutions. Factors such as limited credit history, lack of collateral, and perceived high-risk profiles can make it difficult for SMEs to access the necessary funding to support their operations and growth (Ombworo, 2014). This challenge is further exacerbated during economic downturns, when lenders may become more cautious and restrictive in their lending practices (Uwonda and Okello, 2015).

One of the key strategies for enhancing SME liquidity is effective working capital management. Panda *et al*. (2021) found that efficient working capital management, including optimising inventory, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, can significantly improve the profitability and liquidity of Indian SMEs. Another strategy for improving SME liquidity is the utilisation of digital marketing and e-commerce platforms. Adhitya (2021) examined the case of Indonesian SMEs during the COVID-19 pandemic and found that the adoption of digital marketing strategies, such as social media and online marketplaces, helped these businesses maintain their cash flow and navigate the challenges posed by lockdowns and social distancing measures.

Lastly, the incorporation of digital technologies and data-driven decision-making can enhance the resilience of SME capital management frameworks. Adhitya (2021) highlighted the potential of digital tools, such as cloud-based accounting software and online invoicing platforms, to improve financial visibility, streamline cash flow management, and support more informed decision-making.

The core questions addressed in this study are: What strategies are most effective for enhancing SME liquidity? How can capital management frameworks be restructured to foster resilience? To answer these questions, the study will draw on a range of academic and industry sources, including empirical research, case studies, and expert insights.

In addition, this article explores the capital management strategies and restructuring solutions that can help small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) navigate liquidity challenges effectively. SMEs play a vital role in the global economy, contributing significantly to employment, innovation, and economic growth (Panda *et al*., 2021). However, they often face unique financial constraints, particularly during times of economic uncertainty, such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Hossain *et al*., 2022). This study aims to investigate the most effective strategies for enhancing SME liquidity and how capital management frameworks can be restructured to foster resilience.

Literature review

The existing literature on capital management strategies for SMEs highlights the importance of optimising working capital, restructuring debt, and exploring alternative financing options to address liquidity challenges.

Chiu *et al*. (2021) proposed a framework for post-pandemic debt management in the consumer and SME sectors, which emphasises the role of financial regulators in providing guidance, support, and regulatory flexibility to help businesses navigate the challenges of debt restructuring and refinancing.

The impact of global crises, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, has further highlighted the vulnerabilities of SMEs and the importance of effective liquidity management strategies (Dheer and Salamzadeh, 2022). Many SMEs have faced significant disruptions to their business operations, leading to cash flow challenges and the need for innovative refinancing solutions to ensure their long-term sustainability (Mayanja, 2022).

The existing literature on SME liquidity management highlights the significant challenges faced by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in maintaining adequate cash flow and access to financing. Ullah *et al*. (2018) conducted an empirical study on SMEs in Bangladesh, revealing that effective working capital management is crucial for profitability and sustainability. Their findings suggest that SMEs often struggle to optimise their cash conversion cycle, inventory turnover, and receivables management, leading to liquidity constraints (Ullah *et al*., 2018).

Similarly, Braimah *et al*. (2021) investigated the relationship between working capital management and profitability of SMEs in Ghana. Their study emphasised the importance of efficient cash, inventory, and accounts receivable management in enhancing the financial performance of SMEs. The authors also noted that SMEs in emerging economies face unique challenges, such as limited access to formal financing, which exacerbate their liquidity issues (Braimah *et al*., 2021).

Mittal and Raman (2021) investigated the role of financial bootstrapping in mediating the relationship between business growth and financial health, as well as institutional debt accessibility for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in India. Their findings suggest that financial bootstrapping, such as delaying payments to suppliers, using personal savings, or seeking support from family and friends, can help MSMEs overcome liquidity constraints and access formal financing (Mittal and Raman, 2021). Alrabadi *et al*. (2021) highlighted the importance of SMEs negotiating with creditors to extend payment terms, restructure existing loans, or seek alternative financing options, such as invoice factoring or asset-based lending, to improve their cash flow and financial resilience.

The digital transformation of the financial sector has significantly impacted the liquidity management and refinancing processes for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). According to Von Solms (2021), the integration of regulatory technology (RegTech) into bank treasury operations has enabled more efficient and effective liquidity management. RegTech solutions, such as automated cash flow forecasting and real-time data analytics, have empowered SMEs to make informed decisions regarding their working capital and financing needs (Von Solms, 2021).

Furthermore, the proliferation of financial technology (fintech) platforms has provided SMEs with alternative financing options beyond traditional bank lending. Musili's (2022) study on the impact of digitisation of financial services in Kenya revealed that fintech solutions, such as peer-to-peer lending and invoice factoring, have enhanced SMEs' access to liquidity and reduced their reliance on traditional banking channels. This has been particularly beneficial for SMEs that may have difficulty obtaining credit from conventional financial institutions due to their limited credit history or lack of collateral (Musili, 2022).

The utilisation of digital tools has also streamlined the refinancing process for SMEs. Automated loan application and approval systems, as well as online marketplaces for alternative financing, have simplified and accelerated the refinancing process, allowing SMEs to access the necessary capital more efficiently (Von Solms, 2021). This has been especially crucial during periods of economic uncertainty, where SMEs may require quick access to liquidity to maintain their operations and navigate market disruptions.

Moreover, the integration of data analytics and artificial intelligence (AI) into fintech solutions has enabled more accurate risk assessment and tailored financing options for SMEs. By leveraging these technologies, fintech providers can better understand the unique financial profiles of SMEs and offer customised refinancing solutions that cater to their specific needs (Musili, 2022). This has the potential to enhance the accessibility and affordability of liquidity refinancing for SMEs, ultimately supporting their growth and resilience.

Governments and regulatory bodies play a crucial role in mitigating the liquidity challenges faced by small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) through policy interventions and institutional support. Larasati's (2022) study on the implementation of government regulation policies in Indonesia highlights the importance of a comprehensive regulatory framework that addresses the specific needs of the MSME sector.

One key aspect of policy support is the provision of targeted financial assistance and credit guarantee schemes. Akenbor and Aigbepue's (2022) research on the regulatory dynamics and sustainability of MSMEs in Nigeria found that government-backed loan programs and credit guarantee facilities have been instrumental in improving SMEs' access to financing and liquidity. These initiatives help to reduce the perceived risk for lenders, making it more feasible for SMEs to secure the necessary capital to maintain their operations and navigate economic disruptions (Akenbor and Aigbepue, 2022).

In addition to financial support, governments can also implement policies that promote the digitisation and modernisation of SMEs. Hidayat and Saputra's (2022) study on collaborative governance in the empowerment of MSMEs in Indonesia highlighted the importance of digital infrastructure and capacity-building programs. By investing in digital technologies and providing training and resources for SMEs, policymakers can enable these enterprises to leverage the benefits of financial technologies, such as improved liquidity management and access to alternative financing options (Hidayat and Saputra, 2022).

Furthermore, institutional collaboration between government agencies, financial institutions, and industry associations can enhance the support available to SMEs. Larasati's (2022) research emphasised the need for coordinated efforts among stakeholders to address the multifaceted challenges faced by the MSME sector. This collaboration can facilitate the development of tailored support programs, the sharing of best practices, and the creation of a more conducive ecosystem for SMEs to thrive (Larasati, 2022).

Overall, the existing literature emphasises the need for SMEs to adopt a comprehensive capital management strategy that encompasses working capital optimisation, debt restructuring, and the exploration of alternative financing options to overcome their liquidity challenges and ensure long-term sustainability.

In conclusion, the role of financial technologies, such as RegTech and fintech platforms, has been instrumental in transforming the liquidity management and refinancing processes for SMEs. These digital solutions have improved SMEs' access to capital, streamlined the refinancing procedures, and provided more tailored financing options, thereby empowering SMEs to navigate the challenges of liquidity management and restructuring.

Methods

The research employs a qualitative methodology to explore the critical role of capital management strategies in addressing liquidity challenges faced by small and medium enterprises

(SMEs). By synthesising in-depth literature reviews, policy analyses, and business case studies, the study evaluates the effectiveness of various restructuring solutions designed to stabilise operations and ensure business continuity during periods of financial stress. This approach facilitates a comprehensive understanding of the interplay between liquidity management practices, economic resilience, and SMEs' long-term sustainability.

Data collection focuses on diverse sources, including governmental reports, SME financial performance metrics, and case studies from different industries, offering a multidimensional perspective on the challenges and opportunities in liquidity refinancing. Particular emphasis is placed on assessing how proactive measures such as debt restructuring, working capital optimisation, and alternative financing mechanisms contribute to mitigating financial distress. The integration of digital tools and financial technologies is also examined to identify transformative solutions for improving access to capital and streamlining refinancing processes.

The analysis incorporates a comparative evaluation of traditional and innovative liquidity management frameworks to highlight best practices and potential gaps in existing strategies. By integrating insights from empirical data and policy reviews, the research not only identifies effective measures but also underscores the importance of collaborative efforts among SMEs, financial institutions, and policymakers. This methodological approach ensures that the study provides actionable insights into developing comprehensive liquidity management frameworks that promote economic resilience and sustainable growth for SMEs.

Analysis/Discussion

Managing Diversification in Small, Medium Enterprises (SMEs)

To foster resilience in SME capital management, it is essential to adopt a holistic approach that considers both short-term liquidity and long-term financial sustainability. Diversifying firm's financial policy is also crucial for SMEs seeking to enhance their liquidity. Masroor and Tabassum (2022) investigated the regulatory environment for SMEs in Pakistan and found that access to alternative financing options, such as crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending, and government-backed loan schemes, can help SMEs overcome traditional barriers to securing bank credit.

Hossain *et al*. (2022) reviewed the literature on SME responses to the COVID-19 crisis and found that businesses that had implemented robust risk management practices, such as scenario planning and diversification of supply chains, were better equipped to withstand the impact of the pandemic and maintain their liquidity.

Diversification is significant in managing capital for SMEs. Basyith *et al*. (2021) reviewed the impact of working capital management on the profitability of listed firms in Indonesia, including SMEs. Their study emphasised the need for SMEs to maintain an optimal cash conversion cycle, manage inventory efficiently, and optimise their accounts receivable and payable to improve their liquidity and financial performance (Basyith *et al*., 2021).

Alrabadi *et al*. (2021) examined the impact of working capital management on the profitability of SMEs in Jordan. Their findings revealed that effective management of accounts receivable, accounts payable, and inventory levels can significantly improve the financial health of SMEs. However, the authors also highlighted the persistent liquidity challenges faced by Jordanian SMEs, particularly in accessing bank financing and alternative sources of capital (Alrabadi *et al*., 2021).

Assessing Effective Measures in Managing the Liquidity

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are integral to economic development, yet they encounter significant liquidity challenges that impede their growth and sustainability. One primary constraint is their limited access to traditional financing avenues. According to Rajamani *et al*. (2022), SMEs often struggle to secure loans from conventional banks due to stringent collateral requirements and high-interest rates. This limited access is exacerbated by the perception of high risk associated with lending to smaller enterprises, which often lack substantial credit histories. Consequently, SMEs find themselves relying on informal lending sources, which can be both unreliable and costly.

Another critical liquidity constraint is the issue of delayed receivables. Many SMEs suffer from extended payment cycles, which disrupt their cash flow and hinder their ability to meet operational expenses promptly. Anatan (2021) highlights that during the COVID-19 pandemic, the delay in receivables intensified as larger companies prioritised their cash reserves, further straining SMEs' liquidity. This delay in cash inflow forces SMEs to either seek additional financing or delay their own payments to suppliers, creating a cycle of financial instability.

Rising operational costs also contribute significantly to liquidity challenges for SMEs. As noted by Khurana *et al*. (2021), the increasing costs of raw materials, labour, and compliance with regulatory standards put additional pressure on SMEs' financial resources. These rising costs reduce profit margins, making it difficult for SMEs to reinvest in their operations or manage unexpected financial demands. The combination of these factors creates a precarious financial environment for SMEs, necessitating strategic capital management to ensure their survival and growth.

Moreover, the global economic landscape poses additional challenges for SMEs. Fluctuations in currency exchange rates, changes in trade policies, and global supply chain disruptions can have immediate impacts on SMEs' liquidity. Budiarto *et al*. (2022) discuss how SMEs in emerging markets are particularly vulnerable to such external shocks, as they often lack the financial buffers larger enterprises possess. This vulnerability underscores the need for SMEs to adopt robust financial strategies to mitigate these risks.

The digital transformation sweeping across industries presents both opportunities and challenges for SMEs. While digital tools can enhance operational efficiency and market reach, the initial investment required for digitalisation can strain already limited financial resources. Irwansyah (2022) points out that SMEs must balance the need for technological advancement with their existing liquidity constraints, making strategic financial planning even more critical.

To address the liquidity challenges faced by SMEs, proactive capital management strategies are essential. One effective measure is debt restructuring, which involves renegotiating the terms of existing debts to improve cash flow and reduce financial strain. Rachmadi and Suyono (2021) highlight the success of debt restructuring initiatives during the COVID-19 pandemic, where SMEs were able to extend loan maturities and reduce interest rates, thereby alleviating immediate financial pressures. This strategy allows SMEs to stabilise their finances and focus on long-term growth.

Working capital optimisation is another crucial strategy for enhancing liquidity. By efficiently managing their current assets and liabilities, SMEs can improve their cash flow and reduce the need for external financing. Anatan (2021) emphasises the importance of inventory management, accounts receivable, and payable optimisation in achieving this goal. For instance, by implementing just-in-time inventory systems and negotiating favourable payment terms with suppliers, SMEs can maintain a healthy cash flow and reduce financial risks.

Diversification of funding sources is also vital for SMEs to ensure financial stability. Budiarto *et al*. (2022) suggest that SMEs should explore alternative financing options such as venture capital, crowdfunding, and government grants to supplement traditional bank loans. By diversifying their funding sources, SMEs can reduce their dependency on any single financial institution and mitigate the risks associated with fluctuating interest rates and credit availability.

Moreover, SMEs can benefit from strategic partnerships and collaborations. By forming alliances with other businesses, SMEs can share resources, reduce costs, and access new markets. Khurana *et al*. (2021) discuss how collaborative efforts can lead to innovative solutions and increased competitiveness, ultimately enhancing financial performance. These partnerships can also provide SMEs with access to new technologies and expertise, further supporting their growth and sustainability.

Adopting digital financial management tools can significantly improve SMEs' capital management. Digital platforms offer real-time insights into financial performance, enabling SMEs to make informed decisions and respond swiftly to changing market conditions. Irwansyah (2022) notes that digital tools can streamline financial operations, reduce errors, and enhance transparency, ultimately leading to better liquidity management. By leveraging technology, SMEs can optimise their financial strategies and strengthen their position in the market.

Cutting-Edge Refinancing Solutions: Financial Technology (FinTech) in Boosting SMEs

Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs) frequently encounter numerous liquidity constraints that impede their financial stability and growth. One of the primary challenges is the limited access to traditional financing avenues. Conventional banks often perceive SMEs as high-risk borrowers due to their lack of substantial collateral and credit history, resulting in stringent lending criteria (Harfandi & Ilahi, 2022). This restricted access to credit forces SMEs to rely heavily on internal funds, which may not suffice during periods of financial distress or expansion.

Delayed receivables further exacerbate liquidity issues for SMEs. The cash conversion cycle is often prolonged as businesses wait for payments from customers, leading to cash flow disruptions. According to Hendayani *et al*. (2022), the average collection period for SMEs can extend significantly, causing a mismatch between incoming and outgoing cash flows. This delay in receivables affects the ability of SMEs to meet short-term liabilities, thereby increasing their financial vulnerability.

Rising operational costs also pose a significant challenge to SMEs' liquidity management. Inflationary pressures and increasing costs of raw materials and labour contribute to higher operational expenses, squeezing profit margins. As noted by Giharis (2022), during the COVID-19 pandemic, SMEs experienced a surge in operational costs due to supply chain disruptions and the need for digital transformation, further straining their liquidity.

The COVID-19 pandemic has intensified these liquidity challenges, with many SMEs facing unprecedented financial distress. The pandemic-induced economic downturn led to decreased consumer demand, impacting revenue streams. Haryaningsih *et al*. (2022) highlight that government-imposed lockdowns and restrictions forced many SMEs to halt operations temporarily, further diminishing their cash reserves and exacerbating liquidity constraints.

Moreover, the lack of financial literacy among SME owners often results in inadequate financial planning and management, compounding liquidity issues. Risman *et al*. (2022) emphasise that many SMEs lack the expertise to implement effective cash flow management practices, leading to inefficient utilisation of resources and increased financial strain.

To address the liquidity challenges faced by SMEs, proactive capital management strategies are essential. One effective measure is debt restructuring, which involves renegotiating the terms of existing debt to alleviate financial pressure. By extending the repayment period or reducing interest rates, SMEs can improve their cash flow and allocate resources more efficiently (Harfandi and Ilahi, 2022). This strategy provides immediate relief and enables SMEs to focus on operational improvements and growth initiatives.

Working capital optimisation is another crucial strategy for enhancing liquidity. This involves managing inventory levels, receivables, and payables to ensure a smooth cash flow cycle. SMEs can implement just-in-time inventory systems to reduce holding costs and negotiate favourable payment terms with suppliers to improve liquidity (Hendayani *et al*., 2022). Additionally, adopting digital tools for invoicing and payment tracking can expedite the collection process and reduce the receivables cycle.

Diversification of funding sources is vital for SMEs to mitigate liquidity risks. Relying solely on traditional bank loans can be limiting; hence, exploring alternative financing options such as crowdfunding, peer-to-peer lending, and venture capital can provide SMEs with additional liquidity (Giharis, 2022). These alternative sources not only offer flexibility but also enable SMEs to access funds quickly, especially during emergencies.

Furthermore, enhancing financial literacy among SME owners is crucial for effective capital management. Training programmes and workshops on financial planning, budgeting, and cash flow management can empower SME owners to make informed decisions (Risman *et al*., 2022). By understanding financial metrics and ratios, SMEs can better assess their liquidity position and implement strategies to improve it.

Lastly, leveraging financial technology (fintech) solutions can significantly enhance SMEs' liquidity management. Digital platforms offer tools for real-time financial monitoring, automated invoicing, and payment processing, streamlining financial operations and improving cash flow visibility (Hendayani *et al*., 2022). By adopting fintech solutions, SMEs can optimise their capital management processes and respond swiftly to liquidity challenges.

In conclusion, while SMEs face significant liquidity challenges due to limited access to financing, delayed receivables, and rising operational costs, proactive capital management strategies can provide viable solutions. By implementing debt restructuring, working capital optimisation, and diversifying funding sources, SMEs can enhance their liquidity position and ensure financial stability. Additionally, improving financial literacy and leveraging fintech solutions can further empower SMEs to navigate liquidity challenges effectively.

Conclusion

The interplay between business sustainability and government instability presents a significant challenge, as evidenced by the case of Tunisia during political turmoil. SMEs, which form the backbone of many economies, have been disproportionately affected by disruptions caused by political instability. This instability exacerbates liquidity challenges, such as restricted access to financing, delayed receivables, and rising operational costs. Addressing these issues requires a focus on proactive capital management strategies that support businesses in maintaining stability and continuity. This approach not only mitigates the immediate risks posed by economic volatility but also provides a pathway toward long-term resilience and sustainability.

The application of advanced financial technologies has transformed the way SMEs approach liquidity management and refinancing. Platforms like RegTech and fintech have introduced innovative tools that streamline operations, enhance transparency, and improve access to alternative funding sources. These technologies are particularly valuable in contexts of instability, offering mechanisms to bypass traditional inefficiencies and address market uncertainties. However, the adoption of these technologies necessitates a supportive regulatory environment and increased financial literacy among SMEs to maximise their potential. The integration of such tools into liquidity strategies represents a critical policy direction for fostering resilience within the SME sector.

Policy implications emerging from this analysis stress the importance of creating a supportive ecosystem for SMEs to thrive despite external pressures. Strengthening governance, improving regulatory frameworks, and encouraging public-private partnerships are essential steps to mitigate the adverse effects of political instability. Targeted measures such as debt restructuring programs, tax incentives, and working capital optimisation frameworks can offer immediate relief to struggling businesses. Moreover, a focus on inclusive policy design that caters to diverse business needs ensures that support reaches those most vulnerable to economic disruptions.

Future directions point toward enhancing the liquidity positions of SMEs through strategic reforms and financial innovation. Measures such as diversifying funding sources, adopting sustainability-oriented business models, and leveraging digital platforms for financial operations are central to these efforts. By integrating these strategies, SMEs can develop the resilience required to withstand external shocks while contributing to economic recovery and growth. Additionally, a focus on sustainable practices within liquidity management can align SMEs with global economic trends, fostering competitiveness in both local and international markets.

In conclusion, the challenges faced by SMEs during periods of government instability highlight the need for comprehensive and adaptive liquidity management strategies. By prioritising resilience-building measures and leveraging technological advancements, SMEs can navigate financial constraints and emerge stronger from periods of crisis. Collaboration among stakeholders—including businesses, policymakers, and financial institutions—is vital to create an environment conducive to sustainable growth. These efforts not only support the immediate recovery of SMEs but also establish a robust foundation for long-term economic stability and inclusivity.

References

Adhitya, F. (2021). Restructuring MSMEs Businesses Through the Use of Digital Marketing as a Reflection on the Economic Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Digital Marketing and Halal Industry*, *3*(2), 133-148.

Akenbor, C., & Aigbepue, R. (2022). Regulatory Dynamics and Sustainability of MSMEs Growth in Nigeria. *FUO Journal of Management Sciences*, *6*(2).

Alrabadi, D. W. H., Al Salamat, W., & Hatamleh, A. (2021). Does Working Capital Management Affect the Profitability of Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in Jordan?. *International Journal of Economics and Finance Studies*, *13*(1), 194-214.

Anatan, L. (2021). A Review on MSMEs Resilience: Strategies and Policies Post Covid-19 Pandemic. *Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research*, *10*, 386-394.

Basyith, A., Djazuli, A., & Fauzi, F. (2021). Does Working Capital Management Affect Profitability? Empirical Evidence from Indonesia Listed Firms. *Asian Economic and Financial Review*, *11*(3), 236.

Braimah, A., Mu, Y., Quaye, I., & Ibrahim, A. A. (2021). Working Capital Management and SMEs Profitability in Emerging Economies: The Ghanaian Case. *Sage Open*, *11*(1), 2158244021989317.

Budiarto, D. S., Prabowo, M. A., Uyob, S., & Diansari, R. E. (2022). Diversification Strategy and Its Impact on Sustainability: Research on Indonesian SMEs. *International Journal of Applied Economics, Finance and Accounting*, *13*(1), 40-49.

Chiu, I. H., Kokkinis, A., & Miglionico, A. (2021). Addressing the Challenges of Post-Pandemic Debt Management in The Consumer and SME Sectors: A Proposal for The Roles of UK Financial Regulators. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, *23*(4), 439.

Dheer, R. J., & Salamzadeh, A. (2022). Pandemic Threats: How SMEs Can Respond to The Challenges from Global Crises. *International Journal of Globalisation and Small Business*, *13*(1), 1-17.

Giharis, N. (2022). Impact of MSME Digitalization on Fintech during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *Available at SSRN 4086050*.

Harfandi, H., & Ilahi, I. (2022). The Strategies for Optimizing Islamic Bank Financing in Developing Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) In Indonesia. *Journal of Positive School Psychology*, 1823-1834.

Haryaningsih, S., Yohanes, Y., Sihaloho, N. T. P., & Andriani, F. D. (2022). The Implementation of MSMEs Protection Policies During the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Khazanah Sosial*, *4*(4), 731-744.

Hendayani, N., Muzakir, M., Yuliana, Y., Asir, M., & Wahab, A. (2022). Best Practice of Financial Management in SMEs Operation in Digital Times. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute-Journal (BIRCI-Journal)*, *5*(1), 3350-3361.

Hidayat, W., & Saputra, A. A. (2022, December). Collaborative Governance in Empowerment of Small and Medium Micro Businesses (MSMEs) in the Era of Modern Retail Business Development (Case Study of MSME Grocery Stores in Pangkal Pinang City). In *International Conference on Sustainable Environment, Agriculture and Tourism (ICOSEAT 2022)* (pp. 802-808). Atlantis Press.

Hossain, M. R., Akhter, F., & Sultana, M. M. (2022). SMEs In Covid-19 Crisis and Combating Strategies: A Systematic Literature Review (SLR) And A Case from Emerging Economy. *Operations research perspectives*, 9, 100222.

Irwansyah, M. G. (2022). Bank Efforts in Handling Problem MSME Credit Debtors through Fulfilment of Additional Guarantee Obligations. *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, *125*, 53.

Jamwal, A., Agrawal, R., Sharma, M., Kumar, V., & Kumar, S. (2021). Developing A Sustainability Framework for Industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP*, *98*, 430-435.

Khurana, S., Haleem, A., Luthra, S., & Mannan, B. (2021). Evaluating Critical Factors to Implement Sustainable Oriented Innovation Practices: An Analysis of Micro, Small, And Medium Manufacturing Enterprises. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *285*, 125377.

Larasati, N. (2022). Implementation of Government Regulation Policies towards the Empowerment of MSMEs. *QISTINA: Jurnal Multidisiplin Indonesia*, *1*(1), 13-21.

Masroor, N., & Tabassum, S. (2022). Navigating through the Regulatory Lens: Fuelling or Un-fuelling SMEs Growth in Pakistan. *VFAST Transactions on Education and Social Sciences*, *10*(1), 134-147.

Mayanja, S. N. (2022). An Inquiry into the Relationship between Liquidity Management and Profitability of MSMEs as Tools of Poverty Alleviation. *International Journal of Small and Medium Enterprises and Business Sustainability*, *7*(2), 78-100.

Mittal, V., & Raman, T. V. (2021). The Mediating Role of Financial Bootstrapping: Linking MSMEs' Business Growth to Financial Health and Institutional Debt Accessibility. *South Asian Journal of Management*, *28*(2), 100-136.

Musili, K. (2022). *Effect of Digitization of Financial Services on the Liquidity Management of Commercial Banks in Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).

Ombworo, E. O. (2014). *The Effect of Liquidity on Profitability of Small and Medium Size Enterprises in Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).

Panda, A. K., Nanda, S., & Panda, P. (2021). Working Capital Management, Macroeconomic Impacts, And Firm Profitability: Evidence from Indian SMEs. *Business Perspectives and Research*, 9(1), 144-158.

Rachmadi, F., & Suyono, E. (2021). The Credit Restructuring Phenomenon of The MSMEs and its Effect on Banking Financial Performance During the Pandemic of Covid-19. *Agregat*, *5*(1), 37-55.

Rajamani, K., Jan, N. A., Subramani, A. K., & Raj, A. N. (2022). Access to Finance: Challenges Faced by Micro, Small, And Medium Enterprises in India. *Engineering Economics*, *33*(1), 73-85.

Risman, A., Ali, A. J., Soelton, M., & Siswanti, I. (2022). The Behavioural Finance of MSMEs in The Advancement of Financial Inclusion and Financial Technology (Fintech).

Ullah, G. M., Zahid, A., Khan, I., & Islam, M. N. (2018). Working Capital Management and SME Profitability: Empirical Evidence from Bangladesh. *Global Journal of Management and Business*, *5*(2), 094-099.

Uwonda, G., & Okello, N. (2015). Cash Flow Management and Sustainability of Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Northern Uganda. *International Journal of Social Science and Economics Invention*, *1*(03), 1-20.

Von Solms, J. (2021). Integrating Regulatory Technology (RegTech) into The Digital Transformation of a Bank Treasury. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, *22*(2), 152-168.