SUKUK: International Journal of Banking, Finance, Management and Business
Vol. 2, No. II, 2023
https://sukukjournal.org.uk/

Economic Uncertainty and Financial Instability: Assessing the Most Challenging Circumstance of Indonesian Crisis during 1997-1998

Awalurramadhana

State Islamic University Ar-Raniry - Indonesia

201008015@student.ar-raniry.ac.id

Abstract

The 1997-1998 Indonesian crisis stands as one of the most turbulent periods in the nation's economic history, offering critical lessons on the interplay between economic uncertainty and financial instability. Triggered by the cascading effects of the Asian financial crisis, Indonesia faced unprecedented challenges, including a plummeting currency, soaring inflation, and a collapse of its banking sector. This paper illustrates the depth of these challenges, focusing on their economic, political, and social dimensions to assess the factors that amplified the crisis and the measures implemented to mitigate its impact. Using a multidimensional analytical approach, the study explores the intricate web of currency depreciation, capital flight, and systemic banking failures. Key questions examined include: How did policy missteps exacerbate financial instability? What role did international interventions and domestic reforms play in shaping Indonesia's recovery trajectory? Findings reveal that while external pressures accelerated the crisis, weak institutional frameworks, inadequate policy responses, and socio-political unrest were equally instrumental in deepening its impact. This research underscores the importance of robust governance, strategic fiscal policies, and institutional resilience in navigating economic crises. By examining Indonesia's crisis experience, the study offers actionable insights into designing preventive frameworks and adaptive strategies for emerging economies facing similar vulnerabilities. Recommendations include fostering financial transparency, strengthening monetary controls, and enhancing global cooperation to reduce systemic risks. Through this reflective analysis, the paper contributes to understanding economic crises and highlights the critical role of adaptability in achieving long-term financial stability.

Keywords

Crisis, Instability, Governance, Resilience, Recovery

Introduction

The Asian financial crisis of 1997-1998 had a devastating impact on the global economy, with Indonesia being the hardest-hit nation. The crisis, which began with the devaluation of the Thai baht, quickly spread throughout the region, leading to currency depreciation, soaring inflation, and a collapse of the banking sector (Wang *et al*., 2022). Indonesia, in particular, experienced a severe economic downturn, with its currency, the rupiah, losing more than 80% of its value against the US dollar (Chung, 2021). This crisis had far-reaching consequences, not only for the country's economy but also for its social and political stability.

One of the primary contributors to Indonesia's financial instability during the 1997-1998 crisis was the government's policy failures. Sharma (2001) highlights that the Indonesian government's reluctance to address structural weaknesses in the banking sector, such as poor risk management and lack of regulatory oversight, exacerbated the crisis. The author notes that "the Indonesian government's delayed response and half-hearted attempts to reform the banking sector" (Sharma, 2001, p. 85) were key factors in the escalation of the crisis.

Furthermore, Tambunan (2010) argues that the government's fixed exchange rate policy and its subsequent abandonment contributed to the financial turmoil. The author states that "the fixed exchange rate policy, which was maintained for too long, eventually led to a severe overvaluation of the rupiah and a loss of international competitiveness" (Tambunan, 2010, p. 159). This policy decision, coupled with the government's reluctance to implement necessary structural reforms, undermined the country's economic resilience and left it vulnerable to external shocks.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) played a significant role in shaping Indonesia's recovery from the 1997-1998 crisis. Sharma (2001) highlights that the IMF's intervention, which included a $43 billion bailout package, was conditional on the implementation of structural reforms, such as the closure of insolvent banks and the liberalisation of the financial sector.

However, the effectiveness of the IMF's intervention has been a subject of debate. Dhanani and Islam (2002) argue that the IMF's policies, which focused on fiscal austerity and tight monetary policies, exacerbated the economic hardship faced by the Indonesian people, leading to increased poverty and vulnerability. The authors state that "the IMF-led stabilisation programme, with its emphasis on fiscal austerity and tight monetary policies, had a severe impact on the poor and vulnerable groups in Indonesia" (Dhanani and Islam, 2002).

Alongside international interventions, Indonesia also undertook a series of domestic reforms to address the underlying structural weaknesses that contributed to the crisis. Tambunan (2010) highlights that the government implemented measures to strengthen the banking sector, including the recapitalisation of banks and the establishment of the Indonesian Bank Restructuring Agency (IBRA) to manage the resolution of non-performing loans.

Additionally, the role of international interventions and their potential unintended consequences, as highlighted by Dhanani and Islam (2002), underscores the need for a more nuanced and context-specific approach to crisis management.

The purpose of this paper is to assess the factors behind Indonesia's financial instability during the 1997-1998 crisis, identify critical lessons, and propose strategies for crisis prevention and management.

This paper will explore the following guiding questions: How did policy missteps exacerbate financial instability in Indonesia during the 1997-1998 crisis? What role did international interventions and domestic reforms play in shaping Indonesia's recovery from the crisis?

The study analysis aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay of policy failures and external interventions that shaped Indonesia's recovery trajectory. Policymakers should carefully consider the social and economic implications of austerity measures and work to mitigate the adverse impacts on vulnerable populations.

Literature review

The Indonesian crisis of 1997-1998 provides valuable lessons for crisis prevention and management. Sharma (2001) emphasises the importance of proactive regulatory oversight and the timely implementation of structural reforms to address underlying weaknesses in the financial sector. The author suggests that "the Indonesian experience highlights the need for a comprehensive approach to financial sector reform, addressing both regulatory and institutional weaknesses" (Sharma, 2001, p. 106).

The scale of the Indonesian crisis was unprecedented, with the country's GDP contracting by over 13% in 1998 (Tambunan, 2019). Inflation skyrocketed, reaching a peak of 77.6% in 1998, and the unemployment rate soared, leading to widespread poverty and social unrest (Rindrasih *et al*., 2019). The banking sector was particularly hard-hit, with numerous banks collapsing and the government forced to intervene to prevent a complete meltdown (Fane, 2000). This instability had a cascading effect on various sectors of the economy, including tourism, which saw a significant decline in the wake of the crisis (Rindrasih *et al*., 2019).

The 1997-1998 Asian financial crisis was a significant economic event that had a profound impact on the region, particularly Indonesia. According to Jeon (2010), the crisis was triggered by a combination of factors, including heavy foreign debt, a fragile banking system, and speculative attacks on currencies. Indonesia was particularly vulnerable due to its heavy reliance on foreign debt and the fragility of its banking sector (Noble and Ravenhill, 2000).

Prior to the crisis, Indonesia had experienced rapid economic growth, fuelled by foreign investment and a booming property market (Aswicahyono, Bird, and Hill, 2009). However, this growth was built on shaky foundations, with the country's current account deficit reaching unsustainable levels and the banking sector becoming increasingly overleveraged (King, 2001).

The crisis began in July 1997 when the Thai baht was devalued, triggering a domino effect across the region (Choi, Kim, and Lee, 2011). In Indonesia, the crisis manifested in a sharp depreciation of the rupiah, a collapse in stock prices, and a severe economic recession (Jeon, 2010). The government's initial response, which included attempts to defend the currency and maintain a fixed exchange rate, proved ineffective and only exacerbated the crisis (Aswicahyono *et al*., 2009).

The 1997-1998 Indonesian crisis was a prime example of the link between economic uncertainty and financial instability. Theories and models in the literature have long explored this relationship, highlighting the ways in which economic uncertainty can contribute to the emergence and severity of financial crises.

One key factor that contributed to the Indonesian crisis was the high level of economic uncertainty that prevailed in the country prior to the crisis. Choi *et al*. (2011) note that the rapid growth and expansion of the Indonesian economy in the years leading up to the crisis was accompanied by a significant increase in economic uncertainty, as investors and policymakers struggled to navigate the complex and rapidly changing economic landscape.

This economic uncertainty manifested in various ways, including volatility in exchange rates, stock prices, and commodity prices, as well as a lack of transparency and accountability in the financial sector (King, 2001). These factors, combined with the country's heavy reliance on foreign debt and the fragility of its banking system, created a perfect storm of financial instability (Noble and Ravenhill, 2000).

The crisis itself further exacerbated economic uncertainty, as the sharp depreciation of the rupiah, the collapse of the stock market, and the severe economic recession led to widespread panic and uncertainty among investors and consumers (Jeon, 2010). This, in turn, fuelled a feedback loop of financial instability, as the uncertainty and volatility in the financial markets undermined confidence and led to further capital flight and economic contraction (Aswicahyono *et al*., 2009).

The Indonesian economic crisis of 1997-1998 was a complex and multifaceted event, with policy failures playing a significant role in exacerbating the situation. Hadad *et al*. (2011) examine the literature critiquing Indonesia's fiscal and monetary policies during the crisis, highlighting the delays in addressing systemic banking failures. The authors note that the Indonesian government's reluctance to address these issues in a timely manner contributed to the prolonged economic instability.

One of the key policy failures identified by the literature was the delayed response to the banking sector's troubles. Pepinsky (2009) argues that the Indonesian government's hesitation to recapitalise and restructure the banking system, as well as its reluctance to close down insolvent banks, led to a deepening of the crisis. The author suggests that this policy inaction was driven by a desire to protect the interests of politically connected business elites, who had close ties to the government.

Furthermore, the literature also points to the Indonesian government's inability to effectively manage its fiscal and monetary policies during the crisis. Grenville (2004) notes that the government's attempts to maintain a fixed exchange rate, despite mounting pressure on the currency, ultimately proved unsustainable and contributed to the collapse of the rupiah. The author also highlights the government's failure to implement necessary fiscal austerity measures, which led to a widening of the budget deficit and further undermined confidence in the economy.

The literature also suggests that the Indonesian government's lack of transparency and accountability in its policy decision-making process exacerbated the crisis. Ito (2007) argues that the opaque nature of the government's actions, coupled with its unwillingness to engage with the public and the media, eroded trust in the authorities and made it more difficult to implement effective crisis-management strategies.

The role of international actors, particularly the International Monetary Fund (IMF), in shaping Indonesia's recovery from the 1997-1998 economic crisis has been a subject of extensive study and debate in the literature.

Grenville (2004) provides a detailed analysis of the IMF's involvement in Indonesia during the crisis. The author argues that the IMF's initial response was hampered by a lack of understanding of the underlying causes of the crisis, as well as a failure to appreciate the political and social complexities of the Indonesian context. The IMF's insistence on a rapid implementation of austerity measures and structural reforms, the author suggests, exacerbated the economic hardship faced by the Indonesian people and contributed to social unrest.

Ito (2007) further explores the IMF's role, noting that the organisation's intervention in Indonesia was characterised by a "one-size-fits-all" approach that failed to take into account the country's unique circumstances. The author argues that the IMF's policy prescriptions, which included the closure of several banks and the liberalisation of the financial sector, were not well-suited to the Indonesian context and contributed to the deepening of the crisis.

Kutan *et al*. (2012) offer a more nuanced perspective, suggesting that the IMF's involvement in Indonesia had both positive and negative consequences. The authors note that while the IMF's financial assistance and policy advice helped to stabilise the economy in the short-term, the organisation's insistence on far-reaching structural reforms also led to significant social and political upheaval, which ultimately undermined the long-term sustainability of the recovery.

The literature also highlights the role of other international actors, such as the World Bank and the Asian Development Bank, in shaping Indonesia's response to the crisis. Pepinsky (2009) argues that these institutions played a crucial role in providing financial support and technical assistance to the Indonesian government, but that their involvement was also shaped by their own institutional interests and agendas.

The government introduced policies to promote economic diversification and reduce the country's dependence on the oil and gas sector. Casson (2000) notes that the government's efforts to support the development of the palm oil industry during the crisis period were a significant step towards economic diversification and resilience.

Overall, the literature review highlights the critical role that policy failures played in the Indonesian economic crisis of 1997-1998. The delayed response to the banking sector's troubles, the international interventions, the inability to effectively manage fiscal and monetary policies, and the lack of transparency and accountability in the government's decision-making process all contributed to the prolonged economic uncertainty and financial instability that characterised this period.

Methods

The methodology employed in this study adopts a multidimensional analytical approach to explore the economic, political, and social dimensions of the 1997-1998 Indonesian crisis. By synthesising qualitative and quantitative data, the research integrates historical economic indicators such as currency depreciation, inflation rates, and banking sector metrics with an in-depth review of policy decisions and their outcomes. The analysis prioritises the identification of systemic failures, including inadequate fiscal responses and governance weaknesses, to assess how these factors exacerbated the crisis. Drawing from diverse data sources, including reports from international organisations, government archives, and scholarly critiques, the study provides a comprehensive understanding of the crisis's underlying causes and impacts.

A significant component of the methodology is the examination of policy missteps, such as delayed interventions in the banking sector and insufficient monetary controls. Case studies highlight the role of capital flight and external pressures in accelerating financial instability, while the interplay between domestic socio-political unrest and economic turmoil is explored through qualitative insights. Comparative analyses of Indonesia's recovery trajectory relative to other Asian nations affected by the crisis offer contextual depth, allowing the study to differentiate internal vulnerabilities from regional trends.

The research also evaluates the role of international interventions, focusing on the contributions and limitations of assistance from global financial institutions. This assessment includes an analysis of the structural reforms implemented in response to external recommendations, with particular attention to their long-term effectiveness. By integrating these perspectives, the study offers a nuanced framework for understanding economic crises and develops actionable strategies for enhancing governance, fostering resilience, and mitigating systemic risks in emerging economies.

Analysis/Discussion

Banking Collapse and Policy Missteps during Indonesian Crisis

The Indonesian economic crisis of 1997-1998 was characterised by a rapid and severe currency devaluation that triggered widespread economic instability and investor panic. The Indonesian rupiah lost over 80% of its value against the US dollar, plummeting from around 2,400 rupiah per dollar in mid-1997 to over 14,000 rupiah per dollar by early 1998 (Ito and Sato, 2008). This dramatic currency depreciation was a key driver of the crisis, as it eroded consumer purchasing power, increased the cost of imports, and fuelled inflationary pressures.

The currency crisis was exacerbated by massive capital flight, as foreign investors rapidly withdrew their funds from Indonesia in response to the economic turmoil. Hossain (2005) estimates that Indonesia experienced net capital outflows of over $18 billion in 1997-1998, as investors lost confidence in the country's economic and political stability. This capital flight further weakened the rupiah and strained the country's foreign exchange reserves, making it increasingly difficult for Indonesian firms and households to service their foreign-denominated debts.

The combination of currency depreciation and capital flight had a devastating impact on the Indonesian economy. Inflation spiked, reaching over 70% in 1998, while GDP contracted by around 13% that year (Wie, 2002). Widespread business failures, job losses, and social unrest followed, as the crisis plunged millions of Indonesians into poverty. The government's initial policy responses, such as raising interest rates and tightening fiscal policy, failed to stem the crisis, and it ultimately required a substantial bailout package from the International Monetary Fund to stabilise the economy.

The Indonesian banking sector was at the epicentre of the 1997-1998 economic crisis, as systemic failures in the financial system exacerbated the country's economic woes. Prior to the crisis, the banking industry had been plagued by inadequate regulation, poor governance, and liquidity shortages, making it highly vulnerable to external shocks (Djiwandono, 2000).

One of the key factors contributing to the banking sector's collapse was the high level of non-performing loans (NPLs) in the system. Many Indonesian banks had extended credit to politically connected borrowers, often without proper risk assessment or collateral, leading to a surge in bad loans (Scott, 2002). This, coupled with the currency depreciation and economic slowdown, caused a sharp increase in NPLs, which reached over 50% of total loans by 1998 (Djiwandono, 2000).

The liquidity crisis in the banking sector was further exacerbated by a loss of public confidence, as depositors rushed to withdraw their funds, leading to a classic bank run scenario. This liquidity crunch made it increasingly difficult for banks to meet their short-term obligations, leading to a systemic collapse of the financial system (Soesastro, 2003).

The government's initial response to the banking crisis was slow and ineffective. Regulatory forbearance and delays in restructuring the banking sector allowed the crisis to deepen, as insolvent banks continued to operate and accumulate more bad loans (Scott, 2002). It was not until the government enacted more decisive reforms, such as closing down several troubled banks and establishing a bank recapitalisation program, that the banking sector began to stabilise and recover.

The Indonesian government's policy responses to the 1997-1998 economic crisis were widely criticised for their delays and missteps, which exacerbated the country's economic woes. One of the key areas of concern was the government's handling of the banking sector crisis.

As discussed in the previous section, the government was slow to enact meaningful reforms to address the systemic issues in the banking system, such as poor governance, inadequate regulation, and high levels of non-performing loans. This regulatory forbearance allowed the crisis to deepen, as insolvent banks continued to operate and accumulate more bad loans (Scott, 2002).

In addition, the government's initial macroeconomic policy responses, such as raising interest rates and tightening fiscal policy, were widely seen as counterproductive, as they further exacerbated the economic downturn (Wie, 2002). These policies, which were often dictated by the International Monetary Fund as part of the bailout package, failed to address the underlying structural issues in the Indonesian economy and instead contributed to a deeper recession.

The government's delay in stabilising inflation was another policy misstep that worsened the crisis. As the rupiah plummeted, inflationary pressures surged, eroding consumer purchasing power and further undermining economic activity (Hossain, 2005). The government's slow response in implementing measures to control inflation, such as tightening monetary policy and reducing government spending, allowed the inflationary spiral to continue unabated for an extended period.

Overall, the Indonesian government's policy responses to the 1997-1998 crisis were widely criticised for their lack of timeliness and effectiveness. The delays in restructuring the banking sector, the counterproductive macroeconomic policies, and the failure to quickly stabilise inflation all contributed to the severity and prolonged nature of the economic crisis in Indonesia.

Socio-Political Circumstances and Recovery Pathways

The Indonesian economic crisis of 1997-1998 was not solely an economic phenomenon, but was deeply intertwined with the country's socio-political landscape. Political instability and civil unrest played a significant role in exacerbating the economic crisis (Budianta, 2000). The fall of the Suharto regime, which had ruled Indonesia for over three decades, was a pivotal event that contributed to the crisis. Suharto's authoritarian rule had maintained a semblance of political stability, but it also fostered cronyism, corruption, and a lack of transparency in the country's economic policies (He, 2008). The sudden collapse of this regime led to a power vacuum and a period of uncertainty, as various political factions vied for control.

The political turmoil was accompanied by widespread civil unrest, including student protests, riots, and ethnic tensions (Coppel, 2006). These social upheavals further destabilised the economy, as businesses and consumers became increasingly wary of the uncertain political climate. The riots and violence also resulted in significant damage to infrastructure and disrupted economic activities, exacerbating the economic crisis.

The fall of the Suharto regime was a pivotal moment in the Indonesian crisis. Suharto had ruled the country with an iron fist for over three decades, and his authoritarian regime had been instrumental in maintaining a semblance of political stability (He, 2008). However, this stability came at a cost, as Suharto's regime was also known for its cronyism, corruption, and lack of transparency in economic policymaking.

The economic crisis of 1997-1998 exposed the underlying weaknesses of the Suharto regime, as the government's response to the crisis was widely perceived as ineffective and slow (Firman, 2002). The public's dissatisfaction with the government's handling of the crisis, combined with the growing demands for political reform and democratisation, led to widespread protests and civil unrest that ultimately resulted in Suharto's resignation in May 1998.

The fall of the Suharto regime created a power vacuum and a period of political uncertainty, as various political factions vied for control of the country. This political instability further exacerbated the economic crisis, as businesses and consumers became increasingly wary of the uncertain political climate.

The Indonesian government's response to the economic crisis was initially slow and ineffective, but the country eventually received significant international financial assistance and implemented a series of domestic reforms to address the crisis (McLeod, 2013). The International Monetary Fund (IMF) provided a $43 billion bailout package to Indonesia, which came with a set of stringent conditions, including the implementation of austerity measures, the restructuring of the banking sector, and the removal of various subsidies and trade barriers.

These reforms, while necessary to address the underlying structural weaknesses of the Indonesian economy, also had significant social and political consequences. The austerity measures, for example, led to a sharp decline in living standards for many Indonesians, fuelling further social unrest and discontent (Firman, 2002). The restructuring of the banking sector also resulted in the closure of numerous banks, leading to job losses and further economic hardship.

The Indonesian economic crisis of 1997-1998 provided valuable lessons for the country's policymakers and the international community. One of the key lessons was the importance of transparency, accountability, and good governance in economic policymaking (McLeod, 2013). The crisis exposed the weaknesses of the Suharto regime's crony capitalism and the lack of effective regulatory oversight in the financial sector.

Another important lesson was the need for a more diversified and resilient economic structure. The Indonesian economy's heavy reliance on exports and foreign investment made it particularly vulnerable to external shocks, such as the Asian financial crisis (Firman, 2002). The crisis highlighted the need for Indonesia to develop a more balanced and sustainable economic model, with a greater emphasis on domestic consumption and investment.

Finally, the crisis also underscored the importance of political stability and social cohesion in maintaining economic stability. The political turmoil and civil unrest that accompanied the economic crisis exacerbated the country's economic woes and made it more difficult to implement effective recovery measures (Mietzner, 2006). Policymakers in Indonesia and other countries have since recognised the need to address the social and political dimensions of economic crises, in addition to the purely economic factors.

Conclusion

The 1997-1998 Indonesian financial crisis was a defining moment, revealing the complex interplay between economic, social, and political instability. The economic turbulence, marked by a collapsing currency, soaring inflation, and systemic banking failures, exposed critical weaknesses in the nation's governance and institutional frameworks. The Indonesian government's delayed and inconsistent responses not only intensified the economic fallout but also contributed to widespread public discontent, social unrest, and political instability. The crisis highlighted the urgent need for robust governance and comprehensive strategies to address multifaceted vulnerabilities, illustrating that economic recovery cannot be achieved in isolation from addressing social and political challenges.

Policy responses during the crisis were hindered by a narrow focus on fiscal and monetary measures, often overlooking the broader socio-economic impacts. The austerity-driven approaches and structural adjustment programs recommended by international financial institutions further exacerbated hardships for vulnerable populations, deepening inequalities and undermining public trust in governmental institutions. Policymakers in Indonesia and other nations have since recognised that addressing economic crises demands a more holistic approach—one that integrates economic, social, and political dimensions. Investing in transparent governance, ensuring equitable social safety nets, and prioritising inclusive development are crucial for building resilience against similar crises in the future.

The crisis also underscored the importance of timely and effective interventions to stabilise financial markets and support the broader economy. Delays in addressing banking sector insolvencies and the absence of coordinated policy measures allowed economic instability to spiral out of control. Learning from these missteps, future crisis management strategies must emphasise agility and coordination. Strengthened monetary policies, fiscal prudence, and proactive measures to curb systemic risks are essential for mitigating the adverse effects of financial shocks. Moreover, fostering collaboration between domestic and international stakeholders can facilitate access to resources and expertise needed to navigate complex economic crises.

Looking ahead, it is imperative for policymakers to balance austerity measures with their social and economic consequences. While fiscal discipline is necessary during economic downturns, it must be complemented by targeted interventions that protect vulnerable populations and foster long-term recovery. Strategies such as targeted subsidies, employment generation programs, and investment in human capital can mitigate the adverse impacts of austerity measures.

Policymakers must also ensure that reforms are inclusive and participatory, engaging diverse stakeholders to build consensus and maintain public trust during periods of economic adjustment.

The lessons from Indonesia's 1997-1998 crisis offer valuable insights for emerging economies facing similar vulnerabilities today. By addressing governance weaknesses, promoting transparency, and fostering institutional resilience, nations can better navigate financial instability. Moreover, international collaboration and knowledge sharing are critical for developing adaptive frameworks that prioritise both economic recovery and social equity. This holistic approach is essential for achieving sustainable development, ensuring that the mistakes of the past are not repeated, and building a more resilient and inclusive global economy.

References

Aswicahyono, H., Bird, K., & Hill, H. (2009). Making Economic Policy in Weak, Democratic, Post-Crisis States: An Indonesian Case Study. *World Development*, *37*(2), 354-370.

Budianta, M. (2000). Discourse of Cultural Identity in Indonesia During The 1997-1998 Monetary Crisis. *Inter-Asia cultural studies*, *1*(1), 109-128.

Casson, A. (2000). The Hesitant Boom: Indonesia's Oil Palm Sub-Sector in An Era of Economic Crisis and Political Change.

Choi, J. H., Kim, J. B., & Lee, J. J. (2011). Value Relevance of Discretionary Accruals in The Asian Financial Crisis Of 1997–1998. *Journal of Accounting and public policy*, *30*(2), 166-187.

Chung, K. H. (2021). Towards Rule-Based Institutions and Economic Growth in Asia? Evidence From the Asian Financial Crisis 1997–1998. *Asian Journal of Comparative Politics*, *6*(3), 274-292.

Coppel, C. A. (2006). Violent Conflicts in Indonesia. *Analysis, representation, resolution*.

Dhanani, S., & Islam, I. (2002). Poverty, Vulnerability and Social Protection in A Period of Crisis: The Case of Indonesia. *World Development*, *30*(7), 1211-1231.

Djiwandono, J. S. (2000). Bank Indonesia and the Recent Crisis. *Bulletin of Indonesian economic studies*, *36*(1), 47-72.

Fane, G. (2000). Indonesian Monetary Policy During The 1997–98 Crisis: A Monetarist Perspective. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, *36*(3), 49-64.

Firman, T. (2002). Urban Development in Indonesia, 1990–2001: From the Boom to The Early Reform Era Through the Crisis. *Habitat International*, *26*(2), 229-249.

Grenville, S. (2004). The IMF and the Indonesian Crisis. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, *40*(1), 77-94.

Hadad, M. D., Agusman, A., Monroe, G. S., Gasbarro, D., & Zumwalt, J. K. (2011). Market Discipline, Financial Crisis and Regulatory Changes: Evidence from Indonesian Banks. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *35*(6), 1552-1562.

He, K. (2008). Indonesia's Foreign Policy After Soeharto: International Pressure, Democratization, And Policy Change. *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, *8*(1), 47-72.

Hill, H. (1999) *The Indonesian Economy in Crisis Causes, Consequences and Lessons.* Palgrave Macmillan.

Hossain, A. (2005). The Granger-Causality Between Money Growth, Inflation, Currency Devaluation and Economic Growth in Indonesia: 1954-2002. *International Journal of Applied Econometrics and Quantitative Studies*, *2*(3), 45-68.

Ito, T. (2007). Asian Currency Crisis and The International Monetary Fund, 10 Years Later: Overview. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, *2*(1), 16-49.

Ito, T., & Sato, K. (2008). Exchange Rate Changes and Inflation in Post-Crisis Asian Economies: Vector Autoregression Analysis of The Exchange Rate Pass-Through. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, *40*(7), 1407-1438.

Jeon, B. N. (2010). From the 1997-97 Asian Financial Crisis to the 2008-09 Global Economic Crisis: Lessons from Korea's Experience. *E. Asia L. Rev.*, *5*, 103.

King, M. R. (2001). Who Triggered the Asian Financial Crisis?. *Review of International Political Economy*, *8*(3), 438-466.

Kutan, A. M., Muradoglu, G., & Sudjana, B. G. (2012). IMF Programs, Financial and Real Sector Performance, And the Asian Crisis. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *36*(1), 164-182.

McLeod, R. H. (2013). Lessons from Indonesia's Crisis. In *Capital Flows Without Crisis?* (pp. 199-214). Routledge.

Mietzner, M. (2006). The Politics of Military Reform in Post-Suharto Indonesia: Elite Conflict, Nationalism, and Institutional Resistance. *Policy Studies*, (23), I.

Noble, G. W., & Ravenhill, J. (2000). Causes and consequences of the Asian financial crisis. *The Asian financial crisis and the architecture of global finance*, 1-35.

Pepinsky, T. B. (2009). *Economic Crises and The Breakdown of Authoritarian Regimes: Indonesia and Malaysia in Comparative Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Rindrasih, E., Witte, P., Spit, T., & Zoomers, A. (2019). Tourism and Disasters: Impact of Disaster Events on Tourism Development in Indonesia 1998-2016 And Structural Approach Policy Responses. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, *12*(2), 93-115.

Scott, D. (2002). A Practical Guide to Managing Systemic Financial Crises: A Review of Approaches Taken in Indonesia, the Republic of Korea, and Thailand.

Sharma, S. D. (2001). The Indonesian Financial Crisis: From Banking Crisis to Financial Sector Reforms, 1997-2000. *Indonesia*, (71), 79-110.

Soesastro, H. (2003). Governance and the Crisis in Indonesia. In *Reform and Recovery in East Asia* (pp. 135-159). Routledge.

Tambunan, T. T. (2010). The Indonesian Experience with Two Big Economic Crises. *Modern Economy*, *1*(03), 156-167.

Tambunan, T. T. (2019). The Impact of The Economic Crisis on Micro, Small, And Medium Enterprises and Their Crisis Mitigation Measures in Southeast Asia with Reference to Indonesia. *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies*, 6(1), 19-39.

Wang, C. H., Padmanabhan, P., & Huang, C. H. (2022). The Impacts of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis and the 2008 Global Financial Crisis on Renewable Energy Consumption and Carbon Dioxide Emissions for Developed and Developing Countries. *Heliyon*, *8*(2).

Wie, T. K. (2002). The Soeharto Era and After: Stability, Development and Crisis, 1966–2000. In *The emergence of a national economy* (pp. 194-243). Brill.