Economic Preparedness and Disaster Management: A study on Developing Adaptive Communities

Muhammad Syathiri Armia

The University of Huddersfield

muhammad.armia@hud.ac.uk

Abstract

Disasters strike unpredictably, yet their economic consequences and societal impact can be mitigated through strategic preparedness and adaptive community responses. This paper explores the intersection of economic resilience and disaster management, focusing on strategies to empower communities in navigating crises effectively. By examining case studies from regions prone to natural disasters and economic shocks, the study identifies critical frameworks that integrate resource optimisation, risk assessment, and collaborative governance. It highlights how community-driven initiatives, combined with robust financial planning and institutional support, can build adaptive capacities to withstand and recover from disruptions. Through a qualitative methodology, the research synthesises policy reviews, community engagement practices and literature review analyses, addressing key questions: How can economic preparedness enhance community resilience to disasters? What role do public-private partnerships and technology play in fostering adaptive solutions? Findings reveal that proactive economic planning, such as establishing emergency funds and developing diversified income streams, significantly reduces vulnerability. Additionally, the use of digital tools for early warning systems and resource allocation strengthens response efforts. However, barriers such as inadequate funding, limited coordination, and socio-economic disparities pose challenges to scalability. The study emphasises the importance of fostering collaboration among governments, businesses, and community stakeholders to create sustainable disaster management ecosystems. Recommendations include promoting financial literacy, integrating adaptive technologies, and tailoring policies to local contexts to enhance resilience. By aligning economic preparedness with community-led disaster management strategies, this paper contributes actionable insights for policymakers, researchers, and organisations working towards building resilient and adaptive societies.

Keywords

Resilience, Preparedness, Disaster, Community, Sustainability

Introduction

Disasters, both natural and man-made, have become increasingly unpredictable in recent years, posing significant economic and social challenges worldwide. The profound consequences of these events have underscored the urgent need to integrate economic preparedness into comprehensive disaster management strategies. As Kreimer (2001) highlights, natural disasters can have devastating impacts on a country's economy, affecting infrastructure, production, employment, and overall economic growth. In an era of heightened uncertainty, developing adaptive communities has become crucial in mitigating the adverse impacts of natural disasters, economic shocks, and other crises.

Disasters, whether hurricanes, earthquakes, or pandemics, can disrupt supply chains, destroy businesses, and lead to significant financial losses (Tanzi, 2022). These events can also exacerbate existing social vulnerabilities, disproportionately affecting the most vulnerable populations (Singh *et al*., 2014). Arcaya *et al*. (2020) emphasise that the social consequences of disasters can be long-lasting, leading to changes in community dynamics, social cohesion, and individual well-being. Therefore, it is essential to prioritise economic preparedness as an integral component of disaster management strategies to build resilient and adaptive communities.

Developing adaptive communities is crucial in mitigating the adverse impacts of natural disasters and economic shocks. Monllor and Murphy (2017) suggest that entrepreneurship and innovation can play a vital role in the aftermath of disasters, as individuals and communities often demonstrate remarkable resilience and resourcefulness in the face of adversity. By fostering an environment that encourages entrepreneurial activity and adaptive capacity, communities can better withstand and recover from the economic disruptions caused by disasters.

Furthermore, economic preparedness involves not only individual and business-level measures but also broader policy interventions. Governments and policymakers must prioritise the development of robust social safety nets, disaster-resilient infrastructure, and effective emergency response systems to support communities in times of crisis (Tanzi, 2022). These efforts can help to minimise the economic and social consequences of disasters, ensuring that communities are better equipped to adapt and thrive in the face of unpredictable events.

This paper aims to explore the relationship between economic preparedness and disaster resilience, focusing on strategies that empower communities. The central questions addressed in this paper are: How does economic preparedness influence community resilience? What roles do public-private partnerships and technology play in enhancing disaster preparedness and response?

The study seeks to investigate how economic preparedness can influence community resilience, and the roles that public-private partnerships and technology play in this process. By examining these factors, the study aims to provide insights into the development of adaptive communities that are better equipped to withstand and recover from natural disasters.

Overall, the integration of economic preparedness into disaster management strategies is crucial for building adaptive communities that can withstand and recover from the profound impacts of natural disasters and economic shocks. By leveraging entrepreneurship, innovation, and policy interventions, communities can enhance their resilience and adaptability, ultimately contributing to a more sustainable and prosperous future.

Literature review

Economic preparedness is a critical component of effective disaster management. Existing frameworks for financial planning in disaster management often include the establishment of emergency funds and the diversification of income streams. Setiadi and Frederika (2022) conducted a case study in North Semarang, Indonesia, which highlighted the importance of family financial planning for disaster preparedness. Their research revealed that households with a dedicated emergency fund and multiple income sources were better equipped to withstand the financial impact of natural disasters. Similarly, Gulati (2013) emphasised the need for financial resilience as an integral part of disaster management, particularly in protecting the poor and vulnerable populations.

The establishment of emergency funds can provide a crucial safety net for communities in the aftermath of a disaster. These funds can be used to cover immediate expenses, such as temporary housing, food, and medical care, as well as to support long-term recovery efforts. Diversifying income streams, on the other hand, can help communities mitigate the economic impact of a disaster by reducing their reliance on a single source of income. This can include promoting small-scale entrepreneurship, encouraging the development of alternative livelihood options, and fostering community-based economic initiatives.

Disaster management models have evolved over time, with a growing emphasis on community-based approaches and institutional governance. Linnenluecke and McKnight (2017) explored the role of disaster entrepreneurship in enhancing community resilience, highlighting how local entrepreneurs can play a crucial role in driving post-disaster recovery and adaptation. Their research showcased examples of community-based initiatives that leveraged entrepreneurial skills and resources to address the unique challenges faced by disaster-affected communities.

In addition to community-based approaches, institutional governance frameworks have also been instrumental in shaping effective disaster management strategies. Lassa *et al*. (2018) examined the experiences of a dryland village in Indonesia, where a community-based disaster risk reduction (CBDRR) model had been implemented over the course of two decades. Their study revealed the importance of integrating local knowledge, community participation, and institutional support in building resilient communities. The CBDRR approach empowered local stakeholders, fostered collaboration between community members and government agencies, and enhanced the overall capacity of the community to prepare for and respond to natural disasters.

Community engagement is a vital component of building adaptive and resilient communities. Archer (2016) explored the role of community-driven approaches to development in enhancing urban climate resilience across Asia. The study highlighted how community-led initiatives, such as participatory planning, local knowledge sharing, and collective action, can strengthen the resilience of vulnerable populations to climate-related disasters. By actively involving community members in the decision-making process and leveraging their unique perspectives and experiences, these approaches can foster a sense of ownership and empower local communities to take a more proactive role in disaster preparedness and response.

Similarly, Linnenluecke and McKnight (2017) emphasised the importance of community-driven initiatives in building resilience. Their research showcased examples of community-based enterprises that emerged in the aftermath of natural disasters, demonstrating how local entrepreneurship can contribute to the long-term recovery and adaptation of disaster-affected communities. These community-driven initiatives not only address immediate needs but also foster a sense of community cohesion, self-reliance, and adaptive capacity.

The integration of digital tools and technologies has become increasingly crucial in enhancing disaster response and recovery efforts. Early warning systems, for instance, play a vital role in providing timely and accurate information to communities, enabling them to prepare and respond more effectively (Munawar *et al*., 2022). These systems leverage advanced sensor networks, data analytics, and communication technologies to detect and predict the onset of natural disasters, allowing authorities and residents to take necessary precautions (Abid *et al*., 2021). Additionally, resource allocation technologies, such as decision support systems and logistics optimisation tools, can aid in the efficient distribution of emergency supplies, personnel, and infrastructure during the response and recovery phases (Palliyaguru *et al*., 2013).

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) algorithms has also shown promise in boosting disaster management capabilities. These technologies can be employed to analyse vast amounts of data from various sources, including social media, satellite imagery, and sensor networks, to identify patterns, predict disaster impacts, and optimise resource allocation (Abid *et al*., 2021). By leveraging AI and ML, disaster management agencies can make more informed decisions, improve coordination, and enhance the overall resilience of communities (Munawar *et al*., 2022).

However, the successful integration of these technological solutions requires a comprehensive approach that addresses the specific needs and challenges of the local context. Factors such as infrastructure, digital literacy, and socio-economic disparities can significantly impact the accessibility and effectiveness of these tools, particularly in developing communities (Teo *et al*., 2018). Ensuring that technological interventions are tailored to the unique circumstances of each community and are accompanied by capacity-building initiatives is crucial for achieving sustainable and equitable disaster management outcomes.

Despite the advancements in disaster management technologies, significant gaps and challenges remain in developing adaptive communities. One of the primary barriers is the persistent socio-economic disparities within and across communities, which can hinder access to essential resources and information during disaster events (Teo *et al*., 2018). Low-income and marginalized populations are often disproportionately affected by disasters, facing greater vulnerabilities and limited coping mechanisms (Eggers, 2020). Addressing these inequities requires a holistic approach that integrates disaster risk reduction strategies with broader socio-economic development initiatives.

Another challenge is the lack of effective coordination and collaboration among various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-governmental organisations, and community-based groups (Palliyaguru *et al*., 2013). Fragmented and siloed approaches to disaster management can lead to inefficient resource utilisation, duplication of efforts, and gaps in service delivery. Developing integrated and multi-sectoral frameworks for disaster preparedness and response is crucial for enhancing the overall resilience of communities.

Furthermore, the scalability and adaptability of current disaster management models pose significant challenges, particularly in the face of increasingly complex and interconnected disaster risks (Munawar *et al*., 2022). The growing frequency and intensity of natural disasters, exacerbated by climate change, require flexible and adaptive strategies that can be tailored to the unique needs of diverse communities. Addressing these limitations requires a shift towards more holistic and collaborative approaches to disaster management, with a strong emphasis on building the adaptive capacity of communities.

In conclusion, the integration of technological solutions and the development of adaptive communities are crucial components of effective disaster management. However, the success of these efforts is contingent upon addressing the persistent gaps and challenges, such as socio-economic disparities, coordination barriers, and the need for scalable and adaptable models. By adopting a comprehensive and inclusive approach to disaster management, communities can enhance their resilience and better withstand the impacts of natural disasters.

Methods

The methodology employed in this study is rooted in a qualitative approach, designed to comprehensively examine the interplay between economic preparedness and disaster management. The research synthesises a range of data sources, including policy reviews, community engagement practices, and academic literature analyses. This multidimensional framework enables a thorough exploration of case studies from disaster-prone regions, providing insights into how communities and institutions can collaboratively address economic and social vulnerabilities. The qualitative nature of the research allows for an in-depth understanding of contextual factors and nuanced challenges, offering a holistic view of disaster management strategies.

Case studies form a cornerstone of the analysis, drawing from regions that have experienced significant natural disasters or economic shocks. These cases were selected to highlight diverse approaches to resource optimisation, risk assessment, and collaborative governance. The study examines how communities in these regions have leveraged financial planning tools, such as emergency funds and diversified income streams, to enhance their resilience. Additionally, the research evaluates the role of digital tools, such as early warning systems and resource allocation technologies, in strengthening response mechanisms. This analysis is complemented by reviews of existing policies to assess their effectiveness in fostering community-driven disaster preparedness.

To ensure the reliability and applicability of its findings, the study employs triangulation by cross-referencing insights from policy evaluations, stakeholder interviews, and literature reviews. The integration of these data sources helps identify both the barriers to and opportunities for scalable solutions. Key areas of focus include socio-economic disparities, funding limitations, and the role of public-private partnerships. This robust methodological approach provides actionable insights into aligning economic resilience with sustainable disaster management practices.

Result and Discussion

The Multidimensional Preparedness Framework of Disaster Management

Economic preparedness is a critical component of disaster management, as it enables communities to withstand the financial shocks and disruptions caused by natural disasters. Kunz *et al*. (2014) highlight the importance of investing in disaster management capabilities, such as pre-positioning inventory and infrastructure, to enhance preparedness. Chhibber and Laajaj (2007) further emphasize the need for economic development and diversification to build resilience, as communities with more diversified economies are better equipped to recover from the economic impacts of disasters.

Gardoni and Murphy (2010) propose a capability approach to gauging the societal impacts of natural disasters, which considers the ability of individuals and communities to function and thrive in the aftermath. This approach underscores the significance of economic resources and financial security in shaping a community's resilience and recovery.

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as a crucial mechanism for enhancing disaster preparedness and response. Whittaker *et al*. (2020) highlight the importance of collaborative efforts between government, businesses, and community organizations in developing community disaster resilience. These partnerships can facilitate the pooling of resources, expertise, and decision-making to create more comprehensive and effective disaster management strategies.

Chen *et al*. (2013) provide insights into the development of PPPs for disaster-resilient communities. They emphasize the need for clear governance structures, risk-sharing mechanisms, and alignment of stakeholder interests to ensure the success of these partnerships. Effective PPPs can leverage the strengths and resources of both the public and private sectors to enhance economic preparedness and community resilience.

Technological advancements have the potential to revolutionize disaster management and economic preparedness. Kunz *et al*. (2014) discuss the use of data analytics and simulation models to optimize the pre-positioning of inventory and resources, thereby improving the efficiency and effectiveness of disaster response.

Whittaker *et al*. (2020) also highlight the role of technology in enhancing community engagement and communication during disaster events. Digital platforms and social media can facilitate the dissemination of critical information, enable real-time monitoring, and foster collaboration among stakeholders.

Furthermore, the integration of technology with economic preparedness can lead to the development of innovative financial instruments, such as disaster insurance and risk-transfer mechanisms, which can provide communities with additional financial resilience (Chhibber and Laajaj, 2007).

The concept of adaptive communities is central to the study of economic preparedness and disaster management. Adaptive communities are those that possess the capacity to anticipate, respond, and adapt to the challenges posed by natural disasters (Whittaker *et al*., 2020). This adaptability is often rooted in economic preparedness, as communities with strong financial resources and diversified economies are better equipped to withstand and recover from the impacts of disasters.

Gardoni and Murphy (2010) emphasize the importance of empowering communities to develop their own disaster management strategies, tailored to their unique needs and resources. This approach recognizes the value of local knowledge and the ability of communities to leverage their strengths and resources to build resilience.

Disaster Management's Strategies and Policy Framework

One crucial aspect of economic preparedness is the establishment of emergency funds and the diversification of income streams. Research has shown that communities with access to contingency funds are better equipped to respond to and recover from disasters (Brunet *et al*., 2020). These funds can be used to provide immediate relief, support businesses, and facilitate long-term reconstruction efforts. Governments, businesses, and individuals should work collaboratively to create and maintain such funds, ensuring they are readily available when needed (Chandra and Paras, 2021).

Furthermore, diversifying income streams can enhance a community's resilience. Entrepreneurship and small businesses play a vital role in this regard, as they can provide alternative sources of revenue and employment (Grube and Storr, 2018; Monllor and Murphy, 2017). Policymakers should explore strategies to support and encourage entrepreneurial activities, particularly in the aftermath of disasters, to foster economic diversity and adaptability (Muñoz *et al*., 2019).

Improving financial literacy among individuals and communities is another crucial component of economic preparedness. By empowering people with the knowledge and skills to manage their finances effectively, they can better withstand the financial shocks associated with disasters (Ricciardelli *et al*., 2018). This includes understanding budgeting, savings, insurance, and access to financial services. Governments and non-profit organisations should collaborate to develop and implement financial literacy programmes tailored to the needs of different communities (Painter, 2019).

Effective disaster management requires the coordinated efforts of various stakeholders, including governments, businesses, and communities. By fostering collaborative governance, communities can create sustainable disaster management ecosystems that leverage the strengths and resources of different entities (Palliyaguru, Amaratunga, & Haigh, 2013). This can involve the establishment of public-private partnerships, the development of shared risk assessment and early warning systems, and the coordination of disaster response and recovery efforts (Abid *et al*., 2021).

Public-private partnerships (PPPs) can play a crucial role in mobilising and deploying resources for disaster management. Governments can leverage the expertise, financial resources, and logistical capabilities of the private sector to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of disaster response and recovery efforts (Teo *et al*., 2018). These partnerships can facilitate the rapid deployment of emergency supplies, the restoration of critical infrastructure, and the provision of essential services to affected communities (Ricciardelli *et al*., 2018).

Technological advancements offer significant opportunities to enhance disaster risk management. Digital tools, such as early warning systems, risk assessment platforms, and resource allocation software, can improve the accuracy and timeliness of disaster response (Munawar *et al*., 2022). These solutions can help communities better prepare for, mitigate, and respond to disasters, enabling more efficient and effective resource deployment (Eggers, 2020).

While the potential of technological solutions is clear, there are barriers that limit their widespread adoption. Unequal access to technology and digital literacy gaps can hinder the ability of certain communities to fully leverage these tools (Chandra and Paras, 2021). Policymakers and stakeholders must address these disparities by investing in digital infrastructure, providing digital literacy training, and ensuring inclusive access to technological solutions (Teo *et al*., 2018).

Overall, developing adaptive communities requires a comprehensive approach to economic preparedness and disaster management. By establishing emergency funds, diversifying income streams, enhancing financial literacy, fostering collaborative governance, and leveraging technological solutions, communities can enhance their resilience and better withstand the challenges posed by natural disasters. This multifaceted approach, grounded in empirical evidence and case studies, can serve as a blueprint for building more resilient and adaptable communities.

The Role of Adaptive and Resilience Communities

One compelling example of community-driven disaster preparedness is the Semarang City Resilience Strategy in Indonesia. Developed through a participatory process, the strategy empowers local communities to identify risks, build early warning systems, and implement mitigation measures (Kumaraswamy *et al*., 2018). This approach has strengthened social cohesion and enabled rapid post-disaster recovery. Similarly, in the Philippines, the Albay Public Safety and Emergency Management Office coordinates with community leaders to enhance disaster awareness and response capabilities (Cretney, 2016). These case studies demonstrate how community engagement can foster resilience and adaptive capacity.

Socio-economic inequalities can significantly undermine community resilience. Disadvantaged groups often lack the resources and access to information necessary for effective disaster preparedness (Helsper, 2021). For instance, in the aftermath of Hurricane Katrina, low-income and minority communities in New Orleans faced disproportionate challenges in recovery efforts (Acosta *et al*., 2013). Addressing these disparities requires targeted policies and investments to build the capacities of vulnerable populations. Inclusive, community-based approaches that empower marginalized groups are crucial for developing equitable and sustainable resilience strategies.

Community-based organisations (CBOs) can play a vital role in enhancing disaster preparedness and response. These local entities often have a deep understanding of community needs and can mobilise resources more effectively than top-down approaches (Cretney, 2016). In Nepal, CBOs have collaborated with the government to establish early warning systems and disaster management committees, improving coordination and communication during emergencies (Sinha *et al*., 2019). Strengthening the capacity and integration of CBOs into disaster management frameworks is essential for fostering community-driven resilience.

The integration of adaptive technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and geospatial data, can significantly enhance community resilience. IoT-enabled early warning systems, for instance, can provide real-time information to local communities, enabling them to take timely action (Sinha *et al*., 2019). Geospatial mapping can also help identify vulnerable areas and guide the allocation of resources. However, the digital divide poses a challenge, as marginalised communities may lack access to these technologies (Helsper, 2021). Addressing this gap through targeted capacity-building and inclusive technology deployment is crucial for ensuring equitable disaster resilience.

Effective disaster management requires a shift from top-down, centralised approaches to community-driven, participatory models. By actively engaging local communities in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of resilience strategies, policymakers can ensure that interventions are tailored to local needs and contexts (Cretney, 2016). This empowerment of communities not only fosters a sense of ownership but also leverages local knowledge and resources for more sustainable and effective disaster preparedness and response.

One of the primary challenges in building community resilience is the lack of adequate and sustained funding for disaster management initiatives. Many local governments and community organisations struggle to secure the necessary resources to implement and maintain resilience-building programs (Acosta *et al*., 2013). Additionally, the fragmentation of disaster management efforts across different agencies and levels of government can hinder coordination and the efficient utilisation of resources.

To address these challenges, policymakers should develop tailored policies and funding mechanisms that prioritise community-based resilience initiatives. This may include dedicated disaster management budgets, tax incentives for private sector investment, and the integration of resilience-building into broader development plans (Kumaraswamy *et al*., 2018). Capacity-building programs for local authorities and community organisations are also crucial, equipping them with the skills and knowledge to effectively plan, implement, and monitor resilience strategies.

The integration of adaptive technologies, such as IoT and geospatial data, can significantly enhance community resilience. However, efforts must be made to ensure equitable access and utilisation of these technologies, particularly among marginalised communities (Helsper, 2021). Policymakers should invest in digital literacy programs and facilitate the deployment of user-friendly, culturally-appropriate technological solutions that cater to the diverse needs of local communities.

Improved collaboration and coordination among various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-governmental organisations, and community-based groups, is essential for developing comprehensive and effective disaster management strategies. This may involve the establishment of multi-stakeholder platforms, the harmonisation of disaster management frameworks, and the facilitation of knowledge-sharing and best practice exchanges (Safarpour *et al*., 2020).

Ultimately, the long-term sustainability of community resilience efforts depends on the active engagement and empowerment of local communities. Policymakers should prioritise participatory approaches that enable communities to identify their own needs, develop tailored solutions, and take ownership of disaster management initiatives (Cretney, 2016). This community-driven approach not only enhances the effectiveness of resilience-building but also fosters a sense of collective responsibility and social cohesion within the community.

Hence, building economic preparedness and effective disaster management requires a holistic approach that prioritises the development of adaptive and resilient communities. By leveraging community-driven initiatives, addressing socio-economic disparities, integrating adaptive technologies, and fostering inclusive participation and collaboration, policymakers can help communities become better equipped to withstand and recover from the devastating impacts of disasters.

Conclusion

The relationship between economic preparedness and disaster management is a critical determinant of a community's ability to navigate crises effectively. The findings of this study underscore the importance of aligning economic strategies with adaptive community practices to foster resilience. Proactive measures such as establishing emergency funds, diversifying income streams, and integrating community-driven initiatives form the backbone of effective disaster management. By empowering local communities with the tools and resources to manage crises, the adaptive capacity of societies can be significantly enhanced. Moreover, the integration of economic planning with disaster preparedness not only mitigates immediate risks but also lays a foundation for sustainable recovery and growth.

Policy frameworks play an essential role in translating these insights into actionable strategies. The study highlights that fostering public-private partnerships can serve as a powerful mechanism to bridge gaps in resources and expertise. Governments and private enterprises can collaborate to build robust infrastructure, develop early warning systems, and ensure the equitable distribution of resources during emergencies. Additionally, inclusive policies that address socio-economic disparities are critical for ensuring that the most vulnerable segments of society are not disproportionately affected by disasters. Strengthening financial literacy among communities further equips individuals and local organizations to manage resources effectively and contribute to collective resilience.

Looking ahead, the integration of technology and innovation into disaster management strategies offers significant potential for enhancing preparedness and response efforts. Digital tools such as predictive analytics, geospatial mapping, and resource allocation software can revolutionize how communities anticipate and address risks. However, these advancements must be paired with efforts to address challenges such as funding constraints, limited digital infrastructure, and unequal access to technology. A collaborative approach involving governments, businesses, and civil society is essential to overcoming these barriers. By prioritising community empowerment, fostering partnerships, and leveraging technological advancements, adaptive communities can serve as a model for resilience in an increasingly uncertain world. This study provides a roadmap for stakeholders to work together in building societies that are not only prepared for crises but capable of thriving beyond them.

References

Abid, S. K., Sulaiman, N., Chan, S. W., Nazir, U., Abid, M., Han, H., ... & Vega-Muñoz, A. (2021). Toward an Integrated Disaster Management Approach: How Artificial Intelligence Can Boost Disaster Management. *Sustainability*, *13*(22), 12560.

Acosta, J. D., Chandra, A., & Ringel, J. S. (2013). Non-Governmental Resources to Support Disaster Preparedness, Response, And Recovery. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*, *7*(4), 348-353.

Arcaya, M., Raker, E. J., & Waters, M. C. (2020). The Social Consequences of Disasters: Individual and Community Change. *Annual Review of Sociology*, *46*(1), 671-691.

Archer, D. (2016). Building Urban Climate Resilience Through Community-Driven Approaches to Development: Experiences from Asia. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, *8*(5), 654-669.

Brunet, J., Cuadro-Sáez, L., & Pérez, J. J. (2020). Contingency Public Funds for Emergencies: The Lessons from The International Experience. *Documentos Ocasionales/Banco de España, 2032*.

Chandra, Y., & Paras, A. (2021). Social entrepreneurship in the context of disaster recovery: Organizing for public value creation. *Public Management Review*, *23*(12), 1856-1877.

Chen, J., Chen, T. H. Y., Vertinsky, I., Yumagulova, L., & Park, C. (2013). Public–Private Partnerships for The Development of Disaster Resilient Communities. *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, *21*(3), 130-143.

Chhibber, A., & Laajaj, R. (2007). Natural Disasters and Economic Development Impact, Response and Preparedness. *Global Development Network*, *1000*.

Community Recovery. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *30*(7-8), 800-821. Painter, W. (2019). Disaster Relief Fund: Overview and Issues. *Congressional Research Service, Washington, DC*.

Cretney, R. M. (2016). Local Responses to Disaster: The Value of Community Led Post Disaster Response Action in A Resilience Framework. *Disaster Prevention and Management*, *25*(1), 27-40.

Eggers, F. (2020). Masters of disasters? Challenges and Opportunities for SMEs in Times of Crisis. *Journal of Business Research*, *116*, 199-208.

Grube, L. E., & Storr, V. H. (2018). Embedded Entrepreneurs and Post-Disaster Gardoni, P., & Murphy, C. (2010). Gauging the Societal Impacts of Natural Disasters Using a Capability Approach. *Disasters*, *34*(3), 619-636.

Gulati, A. G. (2013). Financial Resilience as an Integral Part of Disaster Management an Essential for Protecting the Poor & Vulnerable. *Kurukshetra, A Journal of Rural Development*, *61*(12).

Helsper, E. (2021). The Digital Disconnect: The Social Causes and Consequences of Digital Inequalities.

Kreimer, A. (2001). Social and Economic Impacts of Natural Disasters. *International Geology Review*, *43*(5), 401-405.

Kumaraswamy, M., Wong, K. K., & Zhang, J. (2018). Boosting Disaster Resilience Through Advance Public–Private–People Partnerships. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Forensic Engineering*, *171*(1), 12-26.

Kunz, N., Reiner, G., & Gold, S. (2014). Investing in Disaster Management Capabilities Versus Pre-Positioning Inventory: A New Approach to Disaster Preparedness. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *157*, 261-272.

Linnenluecke, M. K., & McKnight, B. (2017). Community Resilience to Natural Disasters: The Role of Disaster Entrepreneurship. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, *11*(1), 166-185.

Lassa, J. A., Boli, Y., Nakmofa, Y., Fanggidae, S., Ofong, A., & Leonis, H. (2018). Twenty Years of Community-Based Disaster Risk Reduction Experience from A Dryland Village in Indonesia. *Jàmbá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies*, *10*(1), 1-10.

Monllor, J., & Murphy, P. J. (2017). Natural Disasters, Entrepreneurship, And Creation After Destruction: A Conceptual Approach. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, *23*(4), 618-637.

Munawar, H. S., Mojtahedi, M., Hammad, A. W., Kouzani, A., & Mahmud, M. P. (2022). Disruptive Technologies as A Solution for Disaster Risk Management: A Review. *Science of the total environment*, *806*, 151351.

Muñoz, P., Kimmitt, J., Kibler, E., & Farny, S. (2019). Living on the Slopes: Entrepreneurial Preparedness in A Context Under Continuous Threat. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *31*(5-6), 413-434.

Palliyaguru, R., Amaratunga, D., & Haigh, R. (2013). Developing an Approach to Assess the Influence of Integrating Disaster Risk Reduction Practices into Infrastructure Reconstruction on Socio-Economic Development. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, *22*(2), 160-171.

Ricciardelli, A., Manfredi, F., & Antonicelli, M. (2018). Impacts for Implementing SDGs: Sustainable Collaborative Communities After Disasters. The City of Macerata at The Aftermath of The Earthquake. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, *18*(4), 594-623.

Safarpour, H., Fooladlou, S., Safi-Keykaleh, M., Mousavipour, S., Pirani, D., Sahebi, A., ... & Dehghani, A. (2020). Challenges and Barriers of Humanitarian Aid Management In 2017 Kermanshah Earthquake: A Qualitative Study. *BMC Public Health*, *20*, 1-10.

Setiadi, R., & Frederika, R. (2022). Family Financial Planning for Disaster Preparedness: A Case Study of North Semarang, Indonesia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *82*, 103332.

Singh, S. R., Eghdami, M. R., & Singh, S. (2014). The Concept of Social Vulnerability: A Review from Disasters Perspectives. *International Journal of Interdisciplinary and Multidisciplinary Studies*, *1*(6), 71-82.

Sinha, A., Kumar, P., Rana, N. P., Islam, R., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Impact of Internet of Things (IoT) in Disaster Management: A Task-Technology Fit Perspective. *Annals of Operations Research*, *283*, 759-794.

Tanzi, V. (2022). *Fragile futures: The Uncertain Economics of Disasters, Pandemics, and Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.

Teo, M., Goonetilleke, A., Ahankoob, A., Deilami, K., & Lawie, M. (2018). Disaster Awareness and Information Seeking Behaviour Among Residents from Low Socio-Economic Backgrounds. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, *31*, 1121-1131.

Whittaker, S., Khalfan, M. M., & UlHaq, I. (2020). Developing Community Disaster Resilience Through Preparedness. *International Journal of Critical Infrastructures*, *16*(1), 53-76.