

Navigating Economic Turmoil and Debt Crisis: A Study on Foreign Financing in Sri Lanka

Asrul Fuadi Anwar

Islamic University of Al-Madinah - Saudi Arabia

451016757@stu.iu.edu.sa

Abstract

Sri Lanka's debt crisis has become a cautionary tale of economic vulnerability in the face of global financial uncertainty. This paper explores the intricate relationship between foreign financing and economic stability in Sri Lanka, shedding light on the role of international loans, aid, and investments in navigating the country's economic turmoil. As the nation grapples with soaring debt levels, currency depreciation, and fiscal deficits, the study delves into the structural and policy-level factors that have exacerbated the crisis while assessing potential recovery pathways. Employing a qualitative methods approach, the research synthesises literature analyses, government policies, and the insights from the experts. It evaluates how reliance on external financing, coupled with weak domestic economic frameworks, has contributed to recurring fiscal imbalances. Key questions addressed include: How can Sri Lanka balance foreign financing with sustainable economic growth? What role do global financial institutions play in mitigating debt-related challenges? Findings reveal that while foreign financing provides short-term relief, the absence of robust governance mechanisms and long-term repayment strategies intensifies economic fragility. Policy recommendations emphasise the need for transparent debt management, diversification of funding sources, and strengthening economic resilience through structural reforms. The paper advocates for collaborative international efforts, including debt restructuring and targeted investments, to support sustainable recovery. By offering actionable insights, this study contributes to the broader discourse on economic crises, providing lessons for emerging economies striving to navigate the complexities of foreign financing and long-term debt sustainability.

Keywords

Debt-Crisis, Foreign-Financing, Resiliencies, Turmoil, Sri Lanka

Introduction

Sri Lanka's economy has been in a state of turmoil, grappling with a severe debt crisis that has pushed the country to the brink of bankruptcy. This cautionary tale serves as a stark reminder of the fragility of emerging economies and the critical role that foreign financing plays in maintaining economic stability (George *et al.*, 2022). As the country navigates this economic upheaval, understanding the dynamics of foreign financing becomes paramount in addressing the fiscal challenges it faces.

The importance of foreign financing cannot be overstated, particularly for developing countries like Sri Lanka. Access to external capital can provide the necessary resources to fund infrastructure projects, stimulate economic growth, and alleviate fiscal pressures (Abeyratne, 2004). However, the reliance on foreign financing also comes with inherent risks, as evidenced by Sri Lanka's current predicament.

Sri Lanka's economic woes are multifaceted, with soaring debt levels, currency depreciation, and widening fiscal deficits at the forefront of the crisis (de Silva, 2021). The country's debt-to-GDP ratio has skyrocketed, reaching unsustainable levels, while the Sri Lankan rupee has plummeted in value, further exacerbating the economic challenges (Saliya, 2023). These fiscal imbalances have had far-reaching consequences, including shortages of essential goods, power outages, and a severe impact on the standard of living for the Sri Lankan people.

The broader significance of foreign financing in maintaining economic stability cannot be overstated. Access to external capital can provide the necessary resources to address fiscal deficits, fund critical infrastructure projects, and support economic growth (Arunatilake *et al.*, 2001). However, the reliance on foreign financing also carries inherent risks, as evidenced by Sri Lanka's current crisis. Understanding the dynamics of foreign financing and its role in navigating economic turmoil is crucial for policymakers and economists alike.

The primary objective of this paper is to explore the role of foreign financing in Sri Lanka's debt crisis and assess the country's recovery strategies. The study aims to contribute to the discourse on debt sustainability and governance in emerging economies, providing insights that can inform policymaking and international cooperation.

The key research questions that this paper aims to address are: How can Sri Lanka balance foreign financing with sustainable economic growth? What role do global financial institutions play in mitigating debt-related challenges?

Sri Lanka has been grappling with a severe economic crisis, marked by a foreign exchange shortage, high inflation, and a mounting debt burden (Kataria *et al.*, 2022). Understanding how the country can navigate this turbulent period while ensuring long-term economic stability is crucial. Additionally, examining the role of global financial institutions in shaping Sri Lanka's debt management strategies is essential to identify effective solutions.

Weerakoon (2020) highlights the changing landscape of development finance, which has implications for Sri Lanka's debt management. The paper will examine how Sri Lanka can balance the need for foreign capital with the imperative of sustainable economic growth. Additionally, it will assess the role of global financial institutions, such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, in addressing Sri Lanka's debt-related challenges.

Literature review

The economic theories related to debt crises and fiscal sustainability highlight the importance of prudent fiscal management and the role of foreign financing in maintaining economic stability. Reinhart and Rogoff (2011) emphasise that excessive debt accumulation, both public and private, can lead to financial crashes and subsequent debt crises. Their research suggests that countries with high levels of debt are more vulnerable to economic shocks, which can trigger a spiral of rising borrowing costs and debt servicing burdens.

The dependency on foreign financing is a critical factor in understanding debt crises, particularly in emerging economies. Manasse, Schimmelpfennig, and Roubini (2003) highlight that reliance on external borrowing can make countries susceptible to sudden shifts in investor sentiment and capital flows, which can exacerbate economic vulnerabilities. This underscores the need for countries to diversify their financing sources and strengthen their domestic resource mobilisation efforts.

Sri Lanka's ongoing economic crisis can be compared to similar cases in other emerging economies, such as the debt crises experienced by Greece and Argentina. Gourinchas, Philippon, and Vayanos (2017) provide a detailed analysis of the Greek debt crisis, highlighting the role of excessive public debt, loss of competitiveness, and the challenges of fiscal consolidation and structural reforms. Similarly, López and Nahón (2017) examine the Argentine debt crisis, emphasising the impact of political instability, currency misalignment, and the difficulties in negotiating debt restructuring agreements.

While there are similarities in the underlying causes of debt crises, there are also key differences that affect the outcomes of foreign financing in these countries. Geopolitical factors, such as regional alliances and global power dynamics, can play a significant role in shaping the availability and terms of foreign financing. Additionally, the institutional structures and governance frameworks of each country can influence their ability to manage debt and navigate economic turbulence.

Evaluating the literature on the risks associated with over-reliance on external loans, aid dependency, and mismanagement of resources is crucial in understanding the key challenges in foreign financing. Gelpern (2005) highlights the dangers of excessive borrowing, noting that "over-reliance on external loans can make a country vulnerable to sudden shifts in global financial markets, exchange rate fluctuations, and changes in creditor sentiment." This sentiment is echoed

by Wisner and Concannon (2023), who argue that "aid dependency can undermine local economic resilience and perpetuate a cycle of debt and dependence."

The impact of weak governance and lack of transparency in exacerbating fiscal vulnerabilities is another significant challenge. Makin (2006) suggests that "poor management of resources and a lack of transparency in government decision-making can lead to the misallocation of funds and a failure to address underlying economic issues." This is particularly relevant in the case of Sri Lanka, where concerns have been raised about the transparency and accountability of foreign loan agreements (Cochrane, 2001).

Furthermore, Martin and Sunley (2015) emphasize the importance of regional economic resilience in navigating economic turmoil, noting that "a lack of diversification and over-reliance on a few key industries can make a country more vulnerable to external shocks." This is a critical consideration for Sri Lanka, which has historically been dependent on a narrow range of exports and foreign investment.

Existing studies on foreign financing in Sri Lanka have largely focused on the immediate challenges and risks associated with over-reliance on external loans and aid. However, there is a need for more research on tailored strategies for long-term repayment plans and the development of local economic resilience.

Gelpert (2005) suggests that "a more nuanced approach to debt restructuring and repayment is needed, one that takes into account the unique circumstances and needs of the borrowing country." This could involve the development of innovative financing mechanisms, such as debt-for-nature swaps or the use of contingency clauses in loan agreements.

Wisner and Concannon (2023) also highlight the importance of strengthening the role of non-state actors, such as civil society organizations and local communities, in the management of foreign financing. They argue that "greater accountability and transparency in the decision-making process can help to ensure that foreign aid and investment are aligned with the needs and priorities of the local population."

Furthermore, Martin and Sunley (2015) emphasize the need for research on strategies to build long-term economic resilience, such as diversifying the economy, investing in human capital, and fostering innovation. These approaches could be particularly relevant for Sri Lanka, which has faced significant challenges in recent years due to its reliance on a narrow range of exports and foreign investment.

Overall, the existing literature provides valuable insights into the key challenges and risks associated with foreign financing in Sri Lanka. However, there is a need for more research on tailored strategies for long-term repayment plans and the development of local economic resilience, as well as the role of non-state actors in the management of foreign financing.

Methods

The methods section for this study employs a qualitative approach, focusing on a bibliographic review and in-depth analysis of literature, government policies, and published expert insights. These methodologies were chosen to explore the intricate relationship between foreign financing and economic stability in Sri Lanka, with a particular emphasis on identifying how reliance on external financing, combined with weak domestic economic frameworks, has contributed to recurring fiscal imbalances.

The in-depth literature review analysed a wide range of academic publications, policy reports, and case studies related to foreign financing, debt crises, and economic resilience. This review aimed to synthesise existing knowledge and uncover patterns applicable to Sri Lanka's unique financial context. The literature was critically assessed to identify the theoretical and practical dimensions of foreign financing and its implications for economic stability, offering insights into global best practices and challenges.

Additionally, the study reviewed government policy documents to trace the evolution of Sri Lanka's fiscal strategies and identify gaps in governance mechanisms that have contributed to the crisis. Published expert insights, sourced from academic articles, policy briefs, and financial commentaries, provided valuable perspectives on the interplay between international loans, aid, and investments. These sources were instrumental in evaluating the structural and policy-level challenges faced by Sri Lanka, enabling the study to develop evidence-based recommendations for enhancing fiscal sustainability and economic resilience. This methodical approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of the factors underlying Sri Lanka's debt crisis and potential pathways for recovery.

Result and Discussion

Crises in Sri Lanka: Debt Dynamics and Role of Global Financial Institutions

Sri Lanka's debt crisis has been exacerbated by a combination of factors, including the COVID-19 pandemic, the Easter Sunday attacks in 2019, and long-standing structural issues (Jiang, 2022). The country's public debt-to-GDP ratio has surged, reaching over 100% in 2021 (Kataria *et al.*, 2022). This has placed significant strain on the government's ability to service its obligations and invest in critical infrastructure and social services.

One of the key drivers of Sri Lanka's debt crisis has been its reliance on foreign financing, particularly from China. Weerakoon (2020) notes that Sri Lanka's debt to China has increased significantly in recent years, accounting for a substantial portion of the country's external debt. This has raised concerns about the sustainability of Sri Lanka's debt repayment obligations and the potential for geopolitical tensions.

Moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated Sri Lanka's economic woes, leading to a sharp decline in tourism revenue and foreign exchange earnings (Kataria *et al.*, 2022). This

has compounded the country's debt-servicing challenges and underscored the need for a comprehensive strategy to address the crisis.

In the event of a debt crisis, the concepts of debt restructuring and the role of IFIs become crucial. Rieffel (2003) discusses the importance of ad hoc debt restructuring mechanisms, which can provide a framework for negotiating debt relief and facilitating the restoration of fiscal sustainability. The involvement of IFIs, such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), can also play a significant role in providing financial assistance, technical expertise, and policy guidance to countries facing debt crises.

The IMF, in particular, has been actively engaged with Sri Lanka, offering a \$2.9 billion bailout package to support the country's economic recovery (Kataria *et al.*, 2022). This assistance, however, comes with conditions, such as the implementation of austerity measures and structural reforms. The effectiveness of these measures in restoring Sri Lanka's economic stability and debt sustainability remains a subject of debate.

Additionally, the World Bank has also provided financial and technical assistance to Sri Lanka, focusing on areas such as infrastructure development, social protection, and governance reforms (Weerakoon, 2020). The bank's involvement in Sri Lanka's recovery efforts can help strengthen the country's institutional capacity and promote long-term economic resilience.

The Significances of Foreign Financing

The role of foreign financing, including international loans, aid, and investments, has been crucial in addressing Sri Lanka's immediate fiscal needs. However, as highlighted by Harrison, Love, and McMillan (2004), such financing has also deepened the country's structural weaknesses, creating a dual-edged challenge.

One of the primary impacts of foreign financing has been its ability to provide short-term relief to the Sri Lankan economy. Yeyati and Micco (2007) note that the influx of foreign capital has helped the government meet its immediate financial obligations, such as servicing debt and funding essential public services. This temporary alleviation of fiscal pressures has been particularly important during periods of economic turmoil, when domestic resources have been strained.

Nevertheless, the reliance on foreign financing has also contributed to the deepening of structural weaknesses within the Sri Lankan economy. Ghosh (2022) argues that the country's heavy dependence on external debt has led to a situation where the government is increasingly beholden to the demands and conditionalities of global financial institutions. This, in turn, has limited the government's ability to implement autonomous economic policies that address the root causes of the debt crisis.

Furthermore, the inflow of foreign capital has not always been accompanied by sustainable long-term investments. Melecky (2021) highlights how some foreign financing has been directed towards unproductive sectors or projects with questionable economic returns, further

exacerbating the country's debt burden. This has created a cycle of reliance on external financing, making Sri Lanka increasingly vulnerable to global economic shocks and fluctuations.

In order to break this cycle, Sri Lanka must carefully navigate the delicate balance between short-term relief and long-term structural reforms. Behuria (2018) suggests that the government should prioritise the diversification of its economic base, reducing its reliance on a narrow range of exports and investments. Additionally, the country must strengthen its domestic resource mobilisation efforts, such as improving tax collection and promoting domestic savings, to reduce its dependence on foreign financing.

The intervention of global financial institutions, such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, has been a significant factor in Sri Lanka's debt crisis. These organisations have played a crucial role in providing financial assistance, but their involvement has also raised concerns about the implications for the country's sovereignty and economic policies.

Ghosh (2022) notes that the IMF and World Bank have stepped in to offer bailout packages and restructuring programs to Sri Lanka, aimed at stabilising the economy and addressing the debt crisis. However, these financial assistance packages have often come with stringent conditionalities, requiring the government to implement austerity measures, reduce public spending, and undertake structural reforms.

Melecky (2021) highlights how the conditionalities imposed by global financial institutions have limited the government's ability to implement policies that prioritise the needs of its citizens. For example, the requirement to reduce subsidies or raise taxes has often had a disproportionate impact on the most vulnerable segments of the population, exacerbating social inequalities.

Moreover, the involvement of these institutions has raised concerns about the erosion of Sri Lanka's economic sovereignty. Behuria (2018) argues that the government's reliance on external financing has made it increasingly beholden to the demands and policy prescriptions of the IMF and World Bank, reducing its autonomy in shaping its own economic trajectory.

In this context, it is crucial for Sri Lanka to strike a balance between the need for financial assistance and the preservation of its economic sovereignty. Harrison *et al.*, (2004) suggest that the country should explore alternative sources of financing, such as regional development banks or South-South cooperation, to reduce its dependence on the conditionalities of global financial institutions.

Additionally, Sri Lanka should strive to strengthen its domestic institutions and policymaking capabilities, enabling it to negotiate more favourable terms with global financial institutions and chart a more autonomous economic course. This may involve enhancing transparency, improving public financial management, and fostering greater public participation in economic decision-making.

Debt Sustainability and Recovery Strategies for Sri Lanka

The economic crisis in Sri Lanka has been exacerbated by longstanding structural issues and governance weaknesses within the country. According to Mehta (2022), the Sri Lankan government's mismanagement of public finances, including excessive borrowing, lack of fiscal discipline, and corruption, have all contributed to the current debt crisis. Thilanka and Ranjith (2018) further highlight how policy mismanagement and inadequate institutional frameworks have undermined debt sustainability, leading to a vicious cycle of debt accumulation and reduced private investment.

The Sri Lankan crisis has also been heavily influenced by external factors, such as the global economic volatility and trade imbalances. Bhowmick (2022) argues that the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent disruption to global supply chains have significantly impacted Sri Lanka's key export industries, including tourism and tea production. Additionally, the Russia-Ukraine conflict and its ripple effects on commodity prices have exacerbated the country's balance of payments issues and foreign exchange shortages (Athukorala, 2023).

The composition of Sri Lanka's debt portfolio has also played a crucial role in the crisis. Nicholas and Nicholas (2023) note that the country's heavy reliance on foreign-denominated debt, particularly from China, has made debt servicing increasingly challenging in the face of a depreciating local currency and dwindling foreign exchange reserves. Nandy and Akon (2023) further highlight how the high-interest rates and short maturities of some of these loans have added to the debt burden and reduced the government's fiscal flexibility.

To address the debt crisis, Sri Lanka will need to explore various recovery strategies, including debt restructuring and the diversification of funding sources. Mehta (2022) suggests that the government should engage in negotiations with creditors to restructure its debt, potentially through debt-for-equity swaps or extended repayment periods. Additionally, the country should seek to diversify its funding sources, moving away from an over-reliance on foreign borrowing and exploring alternative financing mechanisms, such as public-private partnerships and innovative financial instruments (Thilanka and Ranjith, 2018).

Alongside debt restructuring and funding diversification, Sri Lanka must also undertake comprehensive reforms in its public finance management. Bhowmick (2022) emphasizes the need for the government to improve fiscal discipline, enhance transparency, and strengthen institutional frameworks to ensure more efficient and accountable use of public resources. This could involve measures such as improving tax collection, reducing wasteful expenditures, and implementing robust budgetary controls (Athukorala, 2023).

To spur economic recovery, Sri Lanka should also explore innovative solutions, including targeted investments in key sectors. Nicholas and Nicholas (2023) suggest that the government should prioritize investments in infrastructure, renewable energy, and other green sectors, which can not only create jobs but also enhance the country's long-term sustainability. Additionally, the utilization

of public-private partnerships and the mobilization of domestic resources can help to supplement the country's limited foreign exchange reserves and support its economic transformation (Nandy and Akon, 2023).

Conclusion

The study has illuminated the profound challenges and intricacies involved in managing Sri Lanka's debt crisis and its heavy reliance on foreign financing. At the core of the findings is the undeniable role that structural weaknesses and policy missteps have played in exacerbating the country's fiscal vulnerabilities. Sri Lanka's dependence on international loans, coupled with insufficient domestic revenue generation and weak governance mechanisms, has created a cycle of debt dependency that has proven unsustainable. While foreign financing has provided short-term relief, the lack of robust long-term repayment strategies and effective utilisation of borrowed funds has intensified the economic fragility. This underscores the critical need for a more balanced approach to debt management, prioritising transparency, accountability, and prudent fiscal governance.

One of the key insights is the urgent requirement for transparent and accountable debt management practices. Policy responses must move beyond reactive short-term measures and embrace systemic reforms that foster economic resilience. This includes strengthening public financial management, improving tax collection mechanisms, and reducing wasteful expenditure. Moreover, diversifying funding sources, such as exploring local capital markets and fostering public-private partnerships, can provide alternative avenues for financing that reduce dependency on external loans. The role of multilateral financial institutions in providing technical assistance and policy guidance must also be emphasised, ensuring that Sri Lanka leverages global expertise to address its fiscal challenges effectively.

From a policy perspective, the findings highlight the importance of aligning foreign financing strategies with sustainable economic growth objectives. Policymakers must ensure that borrowed funds are channelled into productive investments that yield long-term benefits, such as infrastructure development, education, and healthcare. Furthermore, the establishment of debt ceilings and performance benchmarks can help monitor and regulate borrowing practices, reducing the risks of fiscal mismanagement. Coordinated international efforts, including debt restructuring and targeted financial assistance, are also critical to alleviating the immediate pressures on Sri Lanka's economy while paving the way for sustainable recovery. These interventions must be accompanied by robust mechanisms to ensure compliance and minimise risks of corruption or inefficiency.

Looking to the future, the stability and resilience of Sri Lanka's economy hinge on its ability to implement comprehensive structural reforms. Strengthening institutional capacity, promoting good governance, and fostering inclusive economic policies are paramount. Investments in technology and innovation can further enhance operational efficiency across sectors, supporting economic diversification and job creation. The empowerment of local industries, alongside export

promotion initiatives, will reduce reliance on imports and help bridge trade imbalances, contributing to a more self-reliant economic framework.

Ultimately, the Sri Lankan experience provides valuable lessons for other emerging economies facing similar debt crises. It underscores the importance of adopting a holistic approach to economic management, balancing short-term relief with long-term sustainability. By fostering collaboration among domestic stakeholders, international partners, and multilateral institutions, Sri Lanka can navigate its economic turmoil and build a foundation for enduring growth and stability. These efforts must be underpinned by a commitment to equitable development, ensuring that the benefits of recovery reach all segments of society, particularly the most vulnerable. In doing so, Sri Lanka can transform its current challenges into opportunities, setting a precedent for resilient economic governance in the face of global financial uncertainties.

References

- Abeyratne, S. (2004). Economic Roots of Political Conflict: The Case of Sri Lanka. *World Economy*, 27(8), 1295-1314.
- Akram, N. (2017). Role of Public Debt in Economic Growth of Sri Lanka: An ARDL Approach. *Pakistan Journal of Applied Economics*, 27(2), 189-212.
- Arunatilake, N., Jayasuriya, S., & Kelegama, S. (2001). The Economic Cost of The War in Sri Lanka. *World Development*, 29(9), 1483-1500.
- Athukorala, P. C. (2023). The Sri Lankan Economy: Hope, Despair, and Prospects. In *Routledge Handbook of Contemporary Sri Lanka* (pp. 162-175). Routledge.
- Behuria, A. K. (2018). How Sri Lanka Walked into A Debt Trap, And the Way Out. *Strategic Analysis*, 42(2), 168-178.
- Bhowmick, S. (2022). Understanding the Economic Issues in Sri Lanka's Current Debacle. In *Understanding the Economic Issues in Sri Lanka's Current Debacle: Bhowmick, Soumya*. New Delhi, India: ORF, Observer Research Foundation.
- Cochrane, J. H. (2001). Long-Term Debt and Optimal Policy in The Fiscal Theory of The Price Level. *Econometrica*, 69(1), 69-116.
- de Silva, H. S. (2021). COVID-19 Crisis and Sri Lankan Economy. Available at SSRN 3911930.
- Gelpern, A. (2005). What Iraq and Argentina Might Learn From Each Other. *Chi. J. Int'l L.*, 6, 391.
- George, A. H., George, A. S., & Baskar, T. (2022). Sri Lanka's Economic Crisis: A Brief Overview. *Partners Universal International Research Journal*, 1(2), 9-19.
- Ghosh, J. (2022). 'The Writing Was on the Walls': Debt Distress and Ways Forward in Sri Lanka. *Polity*, 10(2), 7-11.
- Gourinchas, P. O., Philippon, T., & Vayanos, D. (2017). The Analytics of The Greek Crisis. *NBER macroeconomics Annual*, 31(1), 1-81.
- Harrison, A. E., Love, I., & McMillan, M. S. (2004). Global capital flows and Financing Constraints. *Journal of development Economics*, 75(1), 269-301.
- Jiang, Q. (2022). Debt Crisis and National Bankruptcy: Evidence from Sri Lanka. *BCP Business & Management*, 29, 315-321.
- Kataria, A., Manur, A., & Pradhan, S. (2022). Sri Lanka's Economic Crisis. *Journal of Takshashila Case Study*, 1(02).
- López, P. J., & Nahón, C. (2017). The Growth of Debt and The Debt of Growth: Lessons from The Case of Argentina. *Journal of Law and Society*, 44(1), 99-122.
- Makarieva, M. (2020). Theoretical And Empirical Survey of Debt Sustainability Analysis. *Годишник на Стопанския факултет на СУ „Св. Климент Охридски “*, 19(1), 109-128.
- Makin, T. (2006). Has Foreign Capital Made Us Richer?. *Agenda: A Journal of Policy Analysis and Reform*, 225-237.

- Manasse, P., Schimmelpfennig, M. A., & Roubini, N. (2003). *Predicting sovereign Debt Crises*. International Monetary Fund.
- Martin, R., & Sunley, P. (2015). On the Notion of Regional Economic Resilience: Conceptualization and Explanation. *Journal of economic geography*, 15(1), 1-42.
- Mehta, S. (2022). *The Economic Crisis in Sri Lanka: What Led to Bankruptcy?*. SAGE Publications: SAGE Business Cases Originals.
- Melecky, M. (2021). *Hidden Debt: Solutions To Avert the Next Financial Crisis in South Asia*. World Bank Publications.
- Nandy, D., & Akon, S. (2023). Financial Crises in Sri Lanka: In Search of Reasons, Sufferings, and Way Forward. In *Financial Crimes: A Guide to Financial Exploitation in a Digital Age* (pp. 49-65). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Nicholas, H., & Nicholas, B. (2023). An Alternative View of Sri Lanka's Debt Crisis. *Development and Change*, 54(5), 1114-1135.
- Opeoluwa, A. A., & Sikiru, A. A. (2019). Fiscal Reaction Functions and Public Debt Sustainability in Nigeria: An Error Correction Mechanism Approach. *International Journal of Public Policy and Administration*, 6(2), 116-132.
- Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2011). From Financial Crash to Debt Crisis. *American Economic Review*, 101(5), 1676-1706.
- Rieffel, L. (2003). *Restructuring Sovereign Debt: The Case For Ad Hoc Machinery*. Rowman & Littlefield.
- Saliya, C. A. (2023). Analysing the Root Causes of Sri Lanka's Economic Crisis: A Multi-faceted Examination. Available at SSRN 4626556.
- Thilanka, H. R. A. C., & Ranjith, J. S. (2018). The Impact of Public Debt on Private Investment: Sri Lankan Experience. *International Journal of Business and Social Research*, 8(8), 1-10.
- Weerakoon, D. (2020). Sri Lanka's Debt Troubles in The New Development Finance Landscape. In *Global Debt Dynamics* (pp. 18-35). Routledge.
- Wisner, S., & Concannon, B. (2023). Debt and Dependence: Foreign Interference in Haiti and the Importance of non-State Actor Accountability. *Nw. UJ Int'l Hum. Rts.*, 21, 185.
- Yeyati, E. L., & Micco, A. (2007). Concentration and Foreign Penetration in Latin American Banking Sectors: Impact on Competition and Risk. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 31(6), 1633-1647.