SUKUK: *International Journal of Banking, Finance, Management and Business*
Vol. 4, No. I, 2025
https://sukukjournal.org.uk/

Cooperative Insurance in Times of Crisis: A Sustainable Model for Post-Disaster Economic Resilience

Muhammad Syathiri Armia

The University of Huddersfield

muhammad.armia@hud.ac.uk

Abstract

In times of crisis, ensuring economic resilience and community stability is paramount, particularly in regions vulnerable to natural disasters. Cooperative insurance, rooted in mutual assistance and shared risk, has emerged as a sustainable model for post-disaster economic recovery. This article studies the potential of cooperative insurance systems to address the gaps left by conventional insurance during crises, focusing on two key research questions: (1) How does cooperative insurance enhance economic resilience in post-disaster recovery? (2) What are the operational challenges and opportunities for scaling cooperative insurance models globally? Using a qualitative methodology, the research employs in-depth literature review analyses of case studies, policy frameworks, and existing cooperative insurance models. The findings highlight that cooperative insurance fosters financial inclusion, encourages community participation, and offers tailored solutions to meet local needs, making it particularly effective in disaster-prone areas. However, operational scalability, regulatory support, and stakeholder engagement remain critical barriers to widespread adoption. By synthesising insights from diverse geographies and socio-economic contexts, this study underscores the importance of policy interventions and cross-sector partnerships in strengthening cooperative insurance frameworks. Recommendations include integrating digital innovations such as blockchain for enhanced transparency, fostering community awareness programmes, and aligning cooperative insurance with broader disaster management strategies. This article contributes actionable insights for policymakers, insurers, and community leaders, advocating for a collaborative approach to build a resilient, inclusive, and sustainable financial safety net.

Keywords

Insurance, Resilience, Recovery, Sustainability, Inclusion

Introduction

The significance of economic resilience during crises, particularly in regions prone to natural disasters, cannot be overstated. Conventional insurance schemes, however, have often fallen short in addressing the financial needs of vulnerable communities in the aftermath of such events. In this context, the unique potential of cooperative insurance emerges as a promising model for fostering post-disaster economic resilience.

According to the World Bank Policy Research Working Paper by Hallegatte (2014), economic resilience is defined as "the ability of an economy to minimise the macroeconomic costs of a natural disaster." This concept is crucial, as natural disasters can have devastating impacts on local and regional economies, leading to significant financial losses, disruptions to livelihoods, and long-term developmental setbacks. Rose (2009) further emphasises that economic resilience encompasses both the ability to absorb the initial shock and the capacity to recover and adapt in the aftermath.

Conventional insurance models have faced limitations in effectively serving the needs of vulnerable populations in disaster-prone regions. As noted by Freeman and Kunreuther (2012), traditional insurance schemes often struggle to provide adequate coverage and affordable premiums for low-income households and small businesses, which are typically the most affected by natural disasters. Kunreuther (1980) has also highlighted the challenges of securing sufficient insurance uptake in high-risk areas, where the perceived costs of premiums may outweigh the perceived benefits.

In contrast, cooperative insurance offers a promising alternative that aligns with the principles of mutual aid and collective responsibility. By pooling resources and sharing risks, cooperative insurance schemes can provide more accessible and tailored coverage to communities that may have been overlooked or underserved by conventional insurers. This model has the potential to enhance post-disaster economic resilience by ensuring that affected individuals and businesses have the necessary financial safeguards in place to recover and rebuild.

Kunreuther (2016) further underscores the importance of integrating insurance with other policy tools, such as risk reduction measures and disaster preparedness strategies, to create a comprehensive approach to building economic resilience. Cooperative insurance can play a crucial role in this ecosystem, serving as a complementary mechanism that empowers communities to mitigate the financial impacts of natural disasters and strengthen their long-term economic well-being.

The primary aim of this study is to investigate the role of cooperative insurance in enhancing economic resilience during post-disaster recovery. Specifically, this research seeks to address the following questions: How does cooperative insurance enhance economic resilience in post-disaster recovery? What are the operational challenges and opportunities for scaling cooperative insurance models globally?

Overall, the cooperative model's insurance emphasis on community engagement and collective risk-sharing aligns well with the growing global focus on sustainable and equitable development. By partnering with governments, international organisations, and civil society groups, cooperative insurers can leverage their unique strengths to expand their reach and impact, ultimately contributing to more resilient and inclusive economic systems.

Literature review

Cooperative insurance has a rich history rooted in the principles of mutual assistance and risk-sharing. According to Hechter (2018), the origins of cooperative insurance can be traced back to the mutual aid societies and guilds of medieval Europe, where members pooled their resources to provide financial support in times of need. These early cooperative structures were founded on the idea of collective responsibility, where the community shared the burden of individual risks (Hechter, 2018).

The evolution of cooperative insurance continued in the 18th and 19th centuries, as industrialisation and urbanisation led to the emergence of new forms of mutual aid organisations. Hellwege (2016) notes that these cooperative insurers, often organised around specific trades or communities, provided coverage for a range of risks, including fire, life, and disability. The mutual nature of these institutions allowed for a more inclusive and adaptable approach to insurance, catering to the needs of members who may have been excluded from traditional commercial insurance markets (Hellwege, 2016).

The cooperative insurance model gained further traction in the 20th century, particularly in the aftermath of major crises and disasters. Md Husin and Ab Rahman (2016) highlight how cooperative insurance schemes, such as mutual aid societies and community-based insurance programmes, played a crucial role in supporting vulnerable populations and facilitating post-disaster recovery. These cooperative structures leveraged the principles of solidarity and risk-sharing to provide accessible and affordable coverage, fostering economic resilience within affected communities (Md Husin and Ab Rahman, 2016).

The resilience of the cooperative insurance model has been further demonstrated in the context of Islamic finance. Gönülal (2013) explains how the concept of Takaful, a cooperative insurance mechanism based on the principles of mutual assistance and risk-sharing, has gained popularity in Muslim-majority countries. Takaful operators pool contributions from participants to create a fund that is used to provide coverage and support in times of need, reflecting the cooperative ethos of shared responsibility (Gönülal, 2013).

Kwon (2000) further highlights the adaptability of the cooperative insurance model, noting how it has been successfully implemented in diverse cultural and economic contexts, from community-based schemes in developing countries to mutual insurers in advanced economies. This flexibility and responsiveness to local needs have been crucial in enabling cooperative insurance to serve as a sustainable model for post-disaster economic resilience (Kwon, 2000).

In contrast to traditional commercial insurance, the cooperative insurance model presents a distinct approach to risk management and financial protection. Hechter (2018) emphasises that the fundamental difference lies in the ownership and governance structure, where cooperative insurers are owned and controlled by their members, rather than external shareholders. This member-centric approach ensures that the interests of the insured community are at the forefront of decision-making, fostering a more inclusive and responsive system (Hechter, 2018).

Hellwege (2016) further elaborates on the operational distinctions, noting that cooperative insurers often prioritise the principles of mutual assistance and risk-sharing over profit maximisation. Instead of focusing on shareholder returns, cooperative insurers channel their resources towards providing affordable coverage, supporting vulnerable populations, and facilitating post-disaster recovery efforts (Hellwege, 2016). This emphasis on community welfare and collective responsibility sets cooperative insurance apart from the more individualistic and profit-driven conventional insurance model.

The adaptability of cooperative insurance is another key advantage, as highlighted by Md Husin and Ab Rahman (2016). Cooperative insurers are often better equipped to respond to the unique needs and circumstances of local communities, leveraging their intimate understanding of the risk profiles and socioeconomic dynamics within their membership base. This flexibility allows cooperative insurance schemes to tailor their products and services to address the specific challenges faced by their target populations, enhancing their relevance and impact (Md Husin and Ab Rahman, 2016).

Cooperative insurance has emerged as a promising model for enhancing post-disaster economic resilience in disaster-prone regions across the globe. Surminski *et al*. (2019) provide evidence from Asia, highlighting the successful implementation of market-based disaster insurance schemes in countries such as India, China, and the Philippines. These schemes have enabled vulnerable communities to access affordable coverage, reducing their financial burden in the aftermath of natural calamities. For instance, the Indian government's Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) programme has provided crop insurance to over 69 million farmers, cushioning them against the impact of droughts, floods, and other weather-related disasters (Surminski *et al*., 2019).

Similarly, in Sub-Saharan Africa, Viganò and Castellani (2020) have documented the financial decisions and risk management strategies of low-income households in disaster-prone areas of Ethiopia. Their research reveals that the integration of cooperative insurance into the financial portfolios of these households has significantly improved their ability to withstand and recover from natural disasters. By pooling resources and sharing risks, these communities have been able to access insurance coverage that would otherwise be unaffordable or unavailable through traditional commercial providers (Viganò and Castellani, 2020).

In South America, Suarez and Linnerooth-Bayer (2011) have examined the role of insurance-related instruments in disaster risk reduction. Their findings suggest that cooperative insurance models, such as those implemented in Peru and Colombia, have been instrumental in building community resilience and facilitating post-disaster recovery. These schemes have not only provided financial protection but have also fostered a sense of solidarity and collective responsibility among participants, enabling them to better prepare for and respond to natural disasters (Suarez and Linnerooth-Bayer, 2011).

While the existing literature highlights the potential of cooperative insurance in enhancing post-disaster economic resilience, there are still significant gaps and opportunities that warrant further exploration. Simelton and McCampbell (2021) have identified the need for greater integration of digital innovations into cooperative insurance models, particularly in the context of climate-related risks. Their research suggests that the use of digital climate services, such as early warning systems and real-time data analytics, can significantly improve the effectiveness and scalability of cooperative insurance schemes (Simelton and McCampbell, 2021).

Furthermore, Hamid (2023) emphasizes the importance of aligning cooperative insurance models with national disaster management strategies. The author argues that by integrating these schemes into broader disaster risk reduction frameworks, policymakers can ensure a more comprehensive and coordinated approach to building community resilience. This could involve, for example, the provision of regulatory support, financial incentives, and capacity-building initiatives to facilitate the widespread adoption and sustainability of cooperative insurance (Hamid, 2023).

In conclusion, the existing evidence from disaster-prone regions around the world highlights the significant potential of cooperative insurance in enhancing post-disaster economic resilience. By pooling resources, sharing risks, and fostering a sense of collective responsibility, these schemes have enabled vulnerable communities to access affordable coverage and better withstand the financial impacts of natural disasters. However, to fully realise the potential of this model, further research is needed to address the gaps in scalability, digital innovation, and integration with national disaster management strategies.

Methods

The methodological approach of this study is rooted in qualitative research, specifically utilising an in-depth literature review to explore the potential of cooperative insurance systems in enhancing economic resilience during crises. The research draws on a diverse array of sources, including academic articles, policy reports, and case studies, to synthesise a comprehensive understanding of the operational mechanisms and socio-economic impacts of cooperative insurance. This approach enables the study to identify patterns and insights from existing models across different regions and socio-economic contexts, with a particular focus on disaster-prone areas where cooperative insurance has demonstrated efficacy.

The literature review was conducted systematically to evaluate the interplay between cooperative insurance and critical factors such as financial inclusion, community participation, and regulatory frameworks. By analysing existing cooperative insurance models, the study identifies key operational strengths, including their capacity to address local needs through tailored solutions and foster shared risk among community members. Additionally, the review critically assesses the challenges faced in scaling these models, such as regulatory inconsistencies, limited stakeholder engagement, and technological constraints. These insights are contextualised within broader policy and disaster management frameworks to underscore their relevance and applicability.

This qualitative methodology is well-suited for exploring the nuanced dynamics of cooperative insurance, as it allows for the integration of diverse perspectives and case-specific evidence. By focusing on literature-based analyses rather than primary data collection, the study offers a structured and comprehensive evaluation of cooperative insurance models, providing actionable recommendations for stakeholders to strengthen and scale these systems effectively. This methodological framework ensures that the findings are grounded in a robust synthesis of existing knowledge while addressing the research questions in a systematic and targeted manner.

Result and Discussion

Cooperative Insurance Implementation: Between Sustainability and Economics' Impacts

Cooperative insurance can be positioned as a sustainable, inclusive, and community-driven model that is pivotal for bridging financial gaps during crises. This study will explore the potential of cooperative insurance to serve as a resilient and accessible solution for individuals and communities affected by natural disasters and other emergencies.

Cooperative insurance is a unique model that differs from traditional commercial insurance. It is based on the principles of mutual aid, collective risk-sharing, and community-driven decision-making (Gottlieb, 2007). In a cooperative insurance scheme, policyholders are also the owners, and they collectively contribute to a shared pool of resources to provide coverage and support to one another (van der Scheer, 2019). This model has the potential to be more sustainable and responsive to the needs of local communities, especially in times of crisis.

One of the key advantages of cooperative insurance is its ability to adapt to the specific needs and vulnerabilities of the communities it serves. Unlike commercial insurers, which often prioritise profit maximisation, cooperative insurers are driven by the goal of providing affordable and accessible coverage to their members (Eltahir, 2020). This community-centric approach can be particularly beneficial in the aftermath of natural disasters, where traditional insurance models may struggle to meet the diverse needs of affected populations.

Furthermore, the cooperative structure encourages active participation and decision-making by policyholders, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility within the community (Watson *et al*., 2018). This can lead to more effective risk management strategies and a greater alignment between the insurance product and the specific needs of the local context.

Cooperative insurance can play a crucial role in enhancing economic resilience in the aftermath of natural disasters. When communities are hit by catastrophic events, the financial burden can be overwhelming, leading to long-term economic hardship and slower recovery. Cooperative insurance can help bridge this gap by providing timely and tailored support to affected individuals and businesses.

One of the key ways in which cooperative insurance can enhance economic resilience is through its ability to facilitate rapid and targeted payouts. Unlike traditional insurance models, which may be slow to respond or impose strict eligibility criteria, cooperative insurers can often mobilise resources more quickly and with greater flexibility (Pierro and Desai, 2007). This can be particularly valuable in the immediate aftermath of a disaster, when affected individuals and businesses need immediate financial assistance to cover essential expenses and begin the recovery process.

Moreover, the community-driven nature of cooperative insurance can foster a sense of solidarity and mutual support within the affected population. This can lead to more effective coordination and resource-sharing, as well as the development of tailored recovery strategies that address the specific needs of the local context (Watson *et al*., 2018). This holistic approach to post-disaster recovery can help to mitigate the long-term economic impacts and facilitate a more sustainable path to resilience.

While the cooperative insurance model holds significant promise for enhancing economic resilience in times of crisis, there are also operational challenges that must be addressed to facilitate its wider adoption and scaling. One of the key challenges is the need for robust governance structures and risk management frameworks within cooperative insurance schemes. Ensuring the financial stability and long-term viability of these models requires careful planning, risk assessment, and the implementation of effective risk-sharing mechanisms (Eltahir, 2020). This can be particularly challenging in the context of natural disasters, where the frequency and severity of events may strain the resources of smaller, community-based insurers.

Another challenge is the need to overcome barriers to access and affordability, which can limit the reach of cooperative insurance, especially among the most vulnerable populations. Strategies to address these barriers may include the development of innovative financing mechanisms, such as government subsidies or partnerships with development organisations, as well as the use of digital technologies to enhance the accessibility and efficiency of the insurance services (Pierro and Desai, 2007).

Despite these challenges, there are also significant opportunities for scaling cooperative insurance models globally. As the impacts of climate change and natural disasters continue to intensify, the demand for resilient and inclusive financial solutions is likely to grow. Cooperative insurance can provide a viable alternative to traditional insurance, particularly in regions where commercial insurers have been reluctant to operate or where the needs of local communities have been underserved (Watson *et al*., 2018).

Post-Crises Challenges in Addressing Economic Resiliencies

Cooperative insurance plays a crucial role in strengthening local economies in the aftermath of disasters by providing tailored, community-driven solutions. As highlighted by Lawangen (2022), rural cooperatives have made significant contributions to disaster risk reduction and management, particularly in developing countries. These cooperatives often serve as the backbone of local communities, fostering financial inclusion and supporting the most vulnerable groups.

One of the key advantages of cooperative insurance is its ability to cater to the specific needs of the community. Xie *et al*. (2024) found that agricultural insurance participation in China has enhanced rural households' economic resilience to natural disasters, allowing them to better cope with the financial impact of such events. This highlights the importance of developing insurance products that are aligned with the unique circumstances and requirements of the affected population.

Moreover, cooperative insurance models have the potential to promote financial inclusion, particularly among marginalised and underinsured groups. Mardiah (2018) emphasises the importance of collaborative post-disaster recovery governance and networks in Indonesia, which have enabled local communities to revive their economies through collective action. By providing accessible and affordable insurance solutions, cooperative models can empower individuals and small businesses to mitigate the financial risks associated with disasters, ultimately contributing to the overall resilience of the local economy.

However, the scaling of cooperative insurance models is not without its challenges. Lawangen (2022) identifies operational issues, such as a lack of infrastructure and regulatory barriers, as well as inconsistent stakeholder engagement, as key obstacles to the widespread adoption of these models. Addressing these challenges will be crucial in ensuring the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of cooperative insurance as a tool for post-disaster economic resilience.

One of the primary challenges in scaling cooperative insurance models is the lack of robust infrastructure and regulatory frameworks. Hatton (2015) emphasises the importance of collaborative approaches to post-disaster recovery, which can be hindered by a lack of clear guidelines and coordination among stakeholders. In the context of cooperative insurance, this can translate to difficulties in establishing efficient distribution channels, streamlining claims processing, and ensuring compliance with relevant regulations.

Additionally, Kunreuther and Miller (1985) highlight the need for robust risk-pooling mechanisms and financial sustainability within cooperative insurance models. Without these elements, the ability of these models to provide effective and reliable coverage in the face of disasters may be compromised. Addressing these operational and financial challenges will be crucial in ensuring the long-term viability of cooperative insurance as a sustainable solution for post-disaster economic resilience.

Another key challenge in scaling cooperative insurance is the need for consistent stakeholder engagement and buy-in. Mardiah (2018) emphasises the importance of collaborative networks and governance structures in post-disaster recovery efforts. Similarly, in the context of cooperative insurance, the active participation and support of local communities, policymakers, and financial institutions will be essential in driving the widespread adoption of these models.

Overcoming these challenges will require a multifaceted approach, involving the development of robust regulatory frameworks, the strengthening of infrastructure and distribution channels, and the fostering of collaborative partnerships among key stakeholders. By addressing these barriers, cooperative insurance can be positioned as a sustainable and scalable model for enhancing post-disaster economic resilience in communities around the world.

Finally, cooperative insurance has the potential to play a crucial role in strengthening local economies in the aftermath of disasters. By providing tailored, community-driven solutions, cooperative models can promote financial inclusion, support vulnerable groups, and enhance the overall resilience of local communities. However, the scaling of these models is not without its challenges, which must be addressed through a comprehensive and collaborative approach. By overcoming operational, regulatory, and financial hurdles, cooperative insurance can emerge as a sustainable and scalable solution for post-disaster economic resilience.

Opportunities for Innovation and Global Applicability

The cooperative insurance model presents significant opportunities for innovation that can enhance its effectiveness and resilience in times of crisis. One key area of innovation is the integration of digital technologies, such as blockchain, to improve transparency and operational efficiency.

Blockchain technology has the potential to revolutionise the cooperative insurance sector by providing a secure, decentralised platform for record-keeping and transactions (Kunreuther and Michel-Kerjan, 2013). This can increase trust among members, reduce administrative costs, and streamline claims processing, ultimately strengthening the overall resilience of the cooperative insurance system. By leveraging blockchain, cooperative insurers can ensure the integrity of their data, facilitate seamless cross-border operations, and enable more efficient risk-pooling strategies (Jaffee *et al*., 2008).

Furthermore, the cooperative insurance model can be strengthened through strategic cross-sector partnerships. Collaborations with government agencies, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), and private-sector players can provide access to additional resources, expertise, and risk-mitigation strategies (Kunreuther and Michel-Kerjan, 2014). For instance, partnerships with government entities can facilitate the integration of cooperative insurance schemes into national disaster risk management frameworks, ensuring a more comprehensive and coordinated approach to post-disaster recovery (Rose, 2014). Collaborations with NGOs can enhance community engagement and education, while private-sector partnerships can bring innovative risk-transfer solutions and technological advancements to the cooperative insurance model.

The cooperative insurance model has the potential for global applicability, with the ability to be adapted and scaled in diverse socio-economic and geographical contexts. While the specific implementation may vary, the core principles of mutual support, risk-sharing, and community-driven resilience can be effectively applied across different regions and cultures.

In coastal communities, for example, cooperative insurance frameworks can play a crucial role in addressing the risks posed by coastal erosion and other climate-related hazards (Fauzilah and Nurfarhana, 2018). By pooling resources and sharing risks, coastal communities can establish cooperative insurance schemes that provide financial protection and support for affected households and businesses. This can be particularly beneficial in developing regions where access to traditional insurance products may be limited or unaffordable.

Moreover, the cooperative insurance model can be tailored to address the unique challenges faced by different sectors or industries. In the agricultural sector, for instance, cooperative insurance can help smallholder farmers mitigate the impacts of crop failures, weather-related disasters, and price fluctuations (Perrings and Kinzig, 2018). By pooling their resources and sharing risks, farmers can create a more resilient and sustainable system that provides them with the necessary financial safety net during times of crisis.

The adaptability and scalability of the cooperative insurance model are crucial in ensuring its global applicability. By recognising the diverse needs and contexts of different communities, cooperative insurers can develop innovative solutions that cater to the specific requirements of their target populations, ultimately enhancing the model's overall effectiveness and reach.

Conclusion

The role of cooperative insurance systems in fostering post-disaster economic resilience has proven transformative in addressing the inadequacies of traditional insurance mechanisms. These models, deeply rooted in principles of mutual assistance and shared risk, emerge as pivotal instruments for communities recovering from crises. By offering accessible and inclusive financial safeguards, cooperative insurance fosters not only economic recovery but also social stability in disaster-stricken regions. Key findings demonstrate that these systems excel in addressing the unique needs of vulnerable populations, providing tailored solutions that conventional insurance often overlooks. The integration of community participation ensures relevance, adaptability, and an equitable distribution of resources.

This research highlights that cooperative insurance aligns effectively with the dual goals of financial inclusion and economic empowerment. By facilitating risk-sharing at the community level, these systems mitigate the financial burdens of individual policyholders while ensuring sustainability. However, the findings also emphasise persistent challenges, such as limited scalability, insufficient regulatory support, and varying levels of community engagement. These barriers underline the importance of strengthening the institutional and operational frameworks surrounding cooperative insurance to unlock its full potential as a post-disaster recovery tool.

The need for robust policy interventions to strengthen cooperative insurance frameworks is evident. Governments and policymakers play a critical role in providing the regulatory infrastructure necessary to sustain these systems. Policies should focus on fostering public-private partnerships to pool resources, expertise, and innovations. These collaborations can reduce administrative costs and enhance service delivery. Moreover, regulatory frameworks must address challenges such as transparency and accountability while promoting flexibility to accommodate the diverse needs of communities.

Enhancing financial literacy within disaster-prone areas is equally important. Awareness programmes designed to educate communities on the benefits and operational mechanisms of cooperative insurance can encourage broader participation. Tailored incentives, such as subsidies or tax benefits for policyholders, could further support these systems' adoption and sustainability. Governments can also explore integrating cooperative insurance into broader disaster management strategies, ensuring a comprehensive approach that aligns risk-sharing mechanisms with national recovery efforts.

The future of cooperative insurance lies in leveraging technology to enhance operational efficiency and expand its reach. Digital platforms, such as blockchain technology, can streamline administrative processes, improve transparency, and facilitate faster claims processing. These innovations are particularly relevant in disaster-prone areas where traditional infrastructure may be compromised. Technology also enables the collection and analysis of data critical for risk assessment, pricing strategies, and service customisation, ensuring that cooperative insurance models remain responsive and adaptive.

Scaling cooperative insurance to a global level requires the harmonisation of regulatory standards and the sharing of best practices across regions. International collaborations among governments, financial institutions, and development agencies can foster the exchange of knowledge and resources. Aligning cooperative insurance with global sustainable development goals ensures its relevance in addressing not only disaster recovery but also broader socio-economic challenges, such as poverty reduction and climate resilience.

At its core, cooperative insurance represents more than a financial mechanism; it embodies a community-driven approach to resilience. By prioritising mutual aid and shared responsibility, these systems strengthen social cohesion and trust among participants. They also empower local communities by enabling them to take ownership of their financial recovery, fostering long-term economic stability. The participatory nature of cooperative insurance ensures that solutions are not only tailored to local needs but also sustainable over time.

This model's potential extends beyond post-disaster recovery. It offers a blueprint for addressing the growing risks associated with climate change and economic volatility. As the frequency and severity of disasters increase, the importance of scalable, inclusive, and sustainable insurance mechanisms becomes paramount. Cooperative insurance, with its emphasis on equity and community engagement, is well-positioned to meet these demands, providing a viable path to resilience in an uncertain future.

The journey toward mainstreaming cooperative insurance underscores the importance of collaboration, innovation, and adaptability. By integrating community-driven models with advanced technologies and robust policies, cooperative insurance systems can evolve into comprehensive financial safety nets that address the complex challenges of the modern era. The emphasis on inclusivity and equity ensures that these systems remain accessible to those who need them most, bridging gaps left by conventional insurance.

Through concerted efforts from policymakers, financial leaders, and community stakeholders, cooperative insurance can serve as a cornerstone of post-disaster economic resilience. Its ability to foster recovery while promoting financial inclusion and social cohesion underscores its relevance in building a sustainable future. As the world continues to navigate crises of increasing magnitude and complexity, cooperative insurance offers a powerful tool for aligning economic recovery with resilience and sustainability.

References

De-Lima Demange, L. H. M. (2013). The Principle of Resilience. *Nanotechnology*, *11*.

Eltahir, O. A. (2020). The Effect of Information Technology on The Cooperative Insurance Industry Case Study: Shiekan Insurance and Reinsurance Company–Sudan (Empirical Study). *International Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting Research (IJEBAR)*, *4*(01).

Fauzilah, S., & Nurfarhana, A. S. (2018). Does Coastal Community Need Takaful Protection from Coastal Erosion?. *Journal of Tourism, Hospitality and Environment Management*, 3(7), 43-56.

Freeman, P. K., & Kunreuther, H. (2012). *Managing Environmental Risk Through Insurance* (Vol. 9). Springer Science & Business Media.

Gönülal, S. O. (2013). *Takaful and Mutual Insurance: Alternative Approaches to Managing Risks*. Washington, DC: World Bank. DOI 10.1596/978-0-8213- 9724-4.

Gottlieb, D. (2007). Asymmetric Information in Late 19th Century Cooperative Insurance Societies. *Explorations in Economic History*, *44*(2), 270-292.

Hallegatte, S. (2014). Economic Resilience: Definition and Measurement. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (6852).

Hamid, A. M. (2023). Economic Empowerment of Ummah Through Sharia Fintech: Literature Review. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM)*, 6(2).

Hatton, T. (2015). *Collaborative Approaches to The Post-Disaster Recovery of Organisations* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Canterbury).

Hechter, M. (2018). The Emergence of Cooperative Social Institutions. In *Social Institutions* (pp. 13-34). Routledge.

Hellwege, P. (2016). A Comparative History of Insurance Law in Europe. *American Journal of Legal History*, *56*(1), 66-75.

Jaffee, D., Kunreuther, H., & Michel-Kerjan, E. (2008). *Long Term Insurance (LTI) For Addressing Catastrophe Risk* (No. w14210). National Bureau of Economic Research.

Kunreuther, H. (1980). *Disaster Insurance Protection*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press

Kunreuther, H., & Miller, L. (1985). Insurance Versus Disaster Relief: An Analysis of Interactive Modelling for Disaster Policy Planning. *Public Administration Review*, *45*, 147-154.

Kunreuther, H., & Michel-Kerjan, E. (2013). Managing Catastrophic Risks Through Redesigned Insurance: Challenges and Opportunities. *Handbook of insurance*, 517-546.

Kunreuther, H., & Michel-Kerjan, E. (2014). Economics of Natural Catastrophe Risk Insurance. In *Handbook of the Economics of Risk and Uncertainty* (Vol. 1, pp. 651-699). North-Holland.

Kunreuther, H. (2016). Reducing Losses from Catastrophes: Role of Insurance and Other Policy Tools. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, *58*(1), 30-37.

Kwon, W. J. (2000). An Analysis of Islamic Takaful Insurance–A Cooperative Insurance Mechanism Ramin Cooper Maysami. *Journal of Insurance Regulation*, 6(2), 1-26.

Lawangen, A. (2022). Rural Cooperatives in Disaster Risk Reduction and Management: Contributions and Challenges. *Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal*, *31*(2), 144-157.

Mardiah, A. N. R. (2018). *Interface Between Disaster and Development: Local Economic Revival Through Collaborative Post-Disaster Recovery Governance and Network in Indonesia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leeds).

Md Husin, M., & Ab Rahman, A. (2016). Do Muslims Intend to Participate in Islamic Insurance? Analysis From Theory of Planned Behaviour. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, *7*(1), 42-58.

Perrings, C., & Kinzig, A. (2018). Ecology and economics in the science of anthropogenic biosphere change. In *Handbook of Environmental Economics* (Vol. 4, pp. 61-84). Elsevier.

Pierro, R., & Desai, B. (2007). Micro-insurance and DRR: Challenges and Opportunities in the Context of Climate Change. *UK: Christian Aid and Climate and Disaster Governance. Building Resilience to Natural Disasters and Major Economic Crises*.

Rose, A. Z. (2009). Economic Resilience to Disasters. *Published Articles & Papers. Paper 75.*

Rose, A. (2014). Economic Resilience and The Sustainability of Cities in The Face of Climate Change: An Ecological Economics Framework. In *Elgar Companion to Sustainable Cities* (pp. 336-353). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Simelton, E., & McCampbell, M. (2021). Do Digital Climate Services for Farmers Encourage Resilient Farming Practices? Pinpointing Gaps Through the Responsible Research and Innovation Framework. *Agriculture*, *11*(10), 953.

Suarez, P., & Linnerooth-Bayer, J. (2011). Insurance-related Instruments for Disaster Risk Reduction. *Contribution to the Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction. United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR), Geneva, Switzerland*.

Surminski, S., Panda, A., & Lambert, P. J. (2019). *Disaster Insurance in Developing Asia: An Analysis of Market-Based Schemes* (No. 590). ADB Economics Working Paper Series.

van der Scheer, A. (2019, October). An Innovative Cooperative Business Model in The Insurance Industry. In *Networking Event for the Master Interdisciplinary Business Professional*.

Viganò, L., & Castellani, D. (2020). Financial Decisions and Risk Management of Low-Income Households in Disaster-Prone Areas: Evidence from The Portfolios of Ethiopian Farmers. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*, *45*, 101475.

Watson, J. R., Armerin, F., Klinger, D. H., & Belton, B. (2018). Resilience Through Risk Management: Cooperative Insurance in Small-Holder Aquaculture Systems. *Heliyon*, *4*(9).

Xie, S., Zhang, J., Li, X., Xia, X., & Chen, Z. (2024). The Effect of Agricultural Insurance Participation on Rural Households' Economic Resilience to Natural Disasters: Evidence from China. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *434*, 140123.