SUKUK: International Journal of Banking, Finance, Management and Business
Vol. 4, No. III, 2025
ISSN: 2978-316X (British Library)
https://sukukjournal.org.uk/index.php/sukuk/issue/view/12

Banking Acquisition and its Implications for Global Financial Sector

Sahrani

IAIN Parepare – Indonesia

sahrani@iainpare.ac.id

Abstract

This study explores the dynamics of banking acquisitions and their implications for the global financial sector, focusing on how mergers and acquisitions (M&A) reshape competitive landscapes, influence financial stability, and alter governance practices. It further examines the role of acquisitions in promoting cross-border integration and expanding international capital flows. Amid heightened financial globalisation and recurring crises, understanding the systemic impact of banking acquisitions has become increasingly urgent for regulators, policymakers, and institutions striving to ensure stability and resilience in an interconnected global ecosystem. The scope of this research is primarily centred on the macroeconomic, regulatory, and governance implications of banking acquisitions. It does not provide firm-level case studies or detailed microeconomic modelling of operational efficiency, shareholder value, or customer outcomes, thereby limiting its focus to systemic and institutional dimensions. Key questions addressed in the study include how banking acquisitions influence global financial stability and sectoral resilience, and what governance and regulatory challenges arise from large-scale cross-border acquisitions. Methodologically, the research employs a qualitative approach that combines policy analysis, literature review, and comparative case studies of major international banking acquisitions. Drawing on institutional reports, global financial stability assessments, and regulatory frameworks, the study assesses the systemic implications of acquisitions in shaping the global financial architecture. The findings suggest that banking acquisitions play a transformative role in the financial sector by enhancing integration and growth opportunities, but they simultaneously introduce governance complexities and systemic risks that demand robust regulatory oversight. Looking ahead, future research should explore fintech-driven acquisitions, assess their impact on regulatory harmonisation, and provide empirical evidence on how consolidation influences systemic risk and the transmission of financial crises.

Keywords

Banking Acquisition, Global Financial Sector, Financial Integration, Governance, Systemic Risk

Introduction

The trend of banking acquisitions (M&A) has been on a notable rise, reflecting the dynamic nature of the global financial sector. According to Wójcik et al. (2022), the landscape of international financial centres is evolving, with cross-border mergers and acquisitions playing a crucial role in this transformation. The rising frequency of transactions signals a strategic shift among financial institutions to boost competitiveness and market reach. For example, the failed acquisition of Deutsche Bank by Commerzbank illustrates the competitive pressures and need for adaptation within the European banking sector (Gallo, 2023).

Cross-border deals play a crucial role in promoting financial integration by facilitating capital and expertise flow, which enhances systemic stability. Otunba et al. (2024) argue that cross-border M&A activities create a more interconnected financial landscape, which can lead to increased resilience against regional economic shocks. The merger of Banco Santander and Abbey National exemplifies how acquisitions can strengthen an institution's foreign market presence and improve operational capabilities through shared resources and knowledge.

However, the implications of these acquisitions extend beyond mere financial performance; they also raise concerns regarding regulatory compliance and legal risks. Liang (2025) highlights the complexities associated with financial legal risks in cross-border M&A, emphasising the need for robust prevention mechanisms to mitigate potential pitfalls. This is especially relevant in areas with varying regulations, complicating integration and hindering expected synergies.

In an era characterised by financial globalisation and recurrent economic crises, understanding banking acquisitions has become increasingly crucial. Banking acquisitions impact global financial stability due to the interconnectedness of markets. For instance, the cross-border acquisition of a significant banking institution can lead to shifts in market confidence, impacting not only the involved entities but also their competitors and the broader economy (Celestin, 2025). According to Kwon et al. (2024), the growing trend of banks acquiring FinTech companies exemplifies how traditional banking institutions are adapting to technological advancements, thereby reshaping the financial landscape.

The importance of assessing systemic risks associated with banking acquisitions cannot be overstated. Regulators and policymakers must closely examine the potential for increased concentration in the banking sector, which can lead to systemic vulnerabilities. As highlighted by Renn et al. (2022), systemic risks arise from interconnectedness and the potential for contagion during financial distress. .

Moreover, governance challenges emerge as a significant concern in the context of banking acquisitions. Naqvi (2023) discusses how state control of finance, particularly in developing countries like Brazil and South Africa, plays a crucial role in navigating these challenges. Policymakers must ensure that governance structures are robust enough to handle the complexities introduced by acquisitions, thereby mitigating risks associated with mismanagement and operational failures (Sun et al., 2021).

Recently, the importance of regional financial governance in Southeast Asia has grown. The region has experienced several economic shocks, including the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis and the recent COVID-19 pandemic. These events highlight the need for stronger regional financial frameworks to mitigate risks and enhance stability. According to Gutiérrez-Ponce and Wibowo (2024), Integrating regional financial systems enhances resilience and promotes sustainable economic growth in Southeast Asia, where interconnected economies require collaborative solutions for common challenges.

The primary aim of this study is to investigate the economic, regulatory, and governance implications of banking acquisitions on a systemic level. Banking acquisitions have been pivotal in reshaping the global financial landscape, as evidenced by the significant rise in merger and acquisition activities, which reached $1.1 trillion in 2021 alone (Darayseh & Alsharari, 2023).

The study explores important questions regarding the impact of banking acquisitions on global financial stability and the resilience of various sectors, as well as the governance and regulatory challenges that emerge from significant cross-border acquisitions. By examining these implications, this research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how such transactions influence market stability, regulatory frameworks, and corporate governance practices within the banking sector.

Literature review

The literature review examines the trends in global banking acquisitions, focusing on the historical evolution of cross-border banking mergers and acquisitions (M&A) and their implications for global financial competition and capital flows. Walter (2004) offers an insightful overview of what constitutes successful and failed M&A in banking, highlighting the importance of strategic alignment and cultural compatibility. Geretto (2010) further elaborates on the consolidation trends within the European financial industry, identifying key drivers behind M&A activities and their impact on market structures.

Di Giovanni (2005) explores the factors driving capital flows, specifically linking cross-border M&A activity to financial deepening. This research is crucial in understanding how M&A can facilitate capital movement across borders, influencing both local and global economies. Additionally, Rao-Nicholson and Salaber (2016) analyse the repercussions of the financial crisis on cross-border M&A, revealing how economic downturns can lead to increased concentration within the global banking industry.

Currency swap agreements are contracts between two parties to exchange principal and interest payments in different currencies for a set period. They enhance liquidity in financial markets, especially during economic distress, allowing central banks to provide foreign currency liquidity to domestic banks, stabilizing the financial system (Bin-Armia, 2024).

The landscape of the global financial sector has been significantly shaped by mergers and acquisitions (M&A), particularly within the banking industry. Wójcik et al. (2022) provide insights into how cross-border mergers and acquisitions can enhance the resilience of international financial centres by diversifying operations and increasing capital buffers. The integration of banking institutions can lead to improved risk management practices and enhanced efficiency, fostering a more stable financial environment. However, the authors also caution that excessive concentration resulting from large-scale acquisitions can lead to systemic risks, as the failure of a single institution may have cascading effects on the entire financial system.

La (2024) investigates the impact of M&A activities on the financial stability of commercial banks in ASEAN countries. The findings suggest that while strategic acquisitions can lead to enhanced market power and improved financial performance, they also raise concerns about increased systemic risk. The concentration of assets within a few large banks may exacerbate vulnerabilities during economic downturns, potentially leading to instability within the financial sector.

Kyriazopoulos (2024) further explores the relationship between bank strategies in M&A and systemic risk prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. The study highlights that while acquisitions can serve as a mechanism for growth and expansion, they can also amplify interconnectedness among financial institutions. This interconnectedness can create a fragile financial ecosystem, where the distress of one entity can quickly propagate through the system, thereby threatening overall stability.

The dual role of acquisitions is evident in their capacity to drive growth while simultaneously posing systemic risks. Vaghefi (2019) delves into this dichotomy, presenting evidence that acquisitions can facilitate rapid growth by providing access to new markets and technologies. However, the research underscores that such growth often comes with increased leverage and risk exposure, which can lead to financial distress, particularly in volatile markets.

The regulatory and governance dimensions of banking acquisitions, focusing on international frameworks for cross-border banking and associated governance challenges. Ingves (2007) discusses the European regulatory landscape, and Beck (2016) evaluates post-crisis regulatory cooperation. The review spans a defined period, employing keywords related to cross-border banking regulation and governance. Findings indicate significant progress in regulatory frameworks, yet highlight persistent challenges in integration, risk management, and oversight, underscoring the need for enhanced cooperation and governance structures in the global financial sector.

Methods

This research employs a qualitative methodology to investigate the academic discourse on banking acquisitions by providing a nuanced understanding of their multifaceted implications. This study aspires to contribute to the insights gained that will be valuable for policymakers, regulators, and financial institutions as they navigate the complexities of an increasingly interconnected global financial landscape. The study integrates a literature review with a policy analysis of how different regulatory environments impact the success and stability of banking acquisitions. Changes in ownership often lead to shifts in governance practices, which can either enhance or undermine accountability and transparency within institutions.

A thorough literature review and exploratory studies were carried out to assess the significance of banking acquisitions in the global financial sector. These methods analyse the trend of banking acquisitions, which will likely persist, driven by the need for greater efficiency, market expansion, and risk diversification. Institutions must therefore navigate the intricate landscape of cross-border M&A with a strategic focus on both the opportunities and challenges that accompany such endeavours.

The analysis sheds light on the governance challenges that arise post-acquisition and their broader implications for the financial sector. The regulatory implications of banking acquisitions cannot be overlooked. Regulatory bodies across various jurisdictions have had to adapt to the increasing complexity of financial institutions resulting from mergers. As financial markets continue to evolve, regulators and policymakers must remain vigilant in assessing systemic risks and governance challenges. The insights derived from understanding these dynamics are essential for fostering a resilient financial environment that can withstand the pressures of globalisation and economic instability.

Result and Discussion

Impact on Financial Stability

The landscape of the global banking sector has been significantly altered by acquisitions, which not only reshape competitive dynamics but also enhance organisational resilience. Acquisitions often facilitate the consolidation of resources and capabilities, allowing institutions to leverage economies of scale and improve operational efficiency. For instance, the merger between two major banks can lead to a reduction in redundant branches and administrative costs, thereby enhancing profitability and competitive positioning in the market. Huang et al. (2024) highlight that cross-border mergers and acquisitions (M&As) can lead to increased organisational resilience by fostering dynamic capabilities that enable firms to adapt to changing market conditions. This adaptability is crucial in a sector characterised by rapid technological advancements and evolving regulatory environments.

The competitive landscape is reshaped as larger entities emerge, potentially leading to reduced competition. This phenomenon can create a 'too big to fail' scenario, where the failure of a single institution could have catastrophic implications for the financial system. For example, the merger of JPMorgan Chase and Bank One in 2004 resulted in a bank that commanded significant market share in various financial products, raising concerns about monopolistic practices and systemic risk (Cooke et al., 2021). This underscores the dual-edged nature of acquisitions; while they can enhance individual bank resilience, they may simultaneously pose threats to overall market competition and stability.

The implications of these competitive dynamics extend beyond mere market share; they also influence innovation within the sector. Larger banks, equipped with greater resources, may invest more heavily in technological advancements, thereby driving the digital transformation of banking services. However, this can also lead to a homogenisation of services, where smaller banks struggle to compete, ultimately stifling innovation in the broader market. The balance between fostering innovation and maintaining competitive integrity is delicate, as evidenced by the increasing concentration of market power among a few major players in the banking sector (Tanna & Yousef, 2019).

The resilience of acquired organisations can be significantly enhanced through the integration of diverse corporate cultures and practices. Successful acquisitions often hinge on the effective management of human resources, as integrating teams from different backgrounds can lead to innovative problem-solving and improved service delivery. Cooke et al. (2021) propose a framework that emphasises the role of human resource management in building a resilient workforce during M&As, which is vital for sustaining competitive advantage post-acquisition. The successful integration of human capital can thus be seen as a critical determinant of the long-term success of banking acquisitions.

The impact of banking acquisitions on systemic risks is a contentious issue that warrants careful examination. On one hand, proponents argue that M&As can mitigate systemic risks by creating more robust institutions that are better equipped to withstand economic shocks. For example, larger banks may have access to more diversified revenue streams and capital reserves, which can cushion them during financial downturns. However, this perspective is countered by concerns that such consolidations may amplify systemic risks, particularly in the context of a highly interconnected global financial system.

The 'too big to fail' doctrine is a critical concern in this regard. As banks grow larger through acquisitions, their interdependencies with other financial institutions increase, thereby heightening the potential for contagion during times of crisis. The 2008 financial crisis serves as a stark reminder of how the failure of a single large institution can trigger a domino effect across the financial system. Rodney (2024) discusses the implications of M&A performance on systematic risk, suggesting that the concentration of financial power can exacerbate vulnerabilities within the global financial sector.

Statistical analyses indicate that larger banks often exhibit higher levels of systemic risk. For instance, research has shown that the systemic importance of a bank, as measured by its size and interconnectedness, correlates positively with the likelihood of requiring government intervention during a financial crisis. This raises questions about the efficacy of regulatory frameworks designed to manage systemic risk in an environment where acquisitions are prevalent. The Basel III framework, aimed at enhancing the resilience of banks, may need to be revisited to account for the risks associated with large, interconnected entities resulting from M&As.

Moreover, the regulatory landscape plays a crucial role in determining whether acquisitions mitigate or amplify systemic risks. Stricter regulatory oversight can help ensure that banks maintain adequate capital buffers and risk management practices, thereby reducing the likelihood of systemic crises. However, regulatory arbitrage may occur, where institutions exploit loopholes in regulations to pursue aggressive acquisition strategies without sufficient oversight. This scenario underscores the need for a cohesive global regulatory approach to address the challenges posed by banking acquisitions.

Governance and Coordination Challenges

The landscape of corporate governance becomes increasingly intricate following banking acquisitions, primarily due to the need to integrate diverse organisational cultures, management practices, and regulatory frameworks. This complexity is often exacerbated by the differing governance structures of the acquiring and target firms, which can lead to conflicts in decision-making processes. For instance, Goergen et al. (2010) highlight that the integration of complex systems in corporate governance necessitates a nuanced understanding of the interactions between various stakeholders, including shareholders, management, and regulatory bodies.

Moreover, the post-acquisition phase often witnesses challenges in aligning the strategic objectives of the combined entity. The governance mechanisms that were effective in a standalone context may not translate well into the new organisational structure. Tampakoudis et al. (2018) provide evidence that variations in corporate governance mechanisms can significantly impact the success of mergers and acquisitions (M&As) in Europe. Their findings indicate that firms with robust governance frameworks are better positioned to navigate the complexities that arise post-acquisition, as they can maintain a clearer focus on long-term strategic goals.

In addition to structural challenges, the issue of board oversight becomes pivotal. Akinsola (2025) discusses the critical role of boards in ensuring that the interests of shareholders are adequately represented, particularly in tech M&As, where rapid innovation and market dynamics can lead to governance lapses. The effectiveness of the board in post-acquisition scenarios is often tested by its ability to manage competing interests and to foster a culture of accountability within the newly formed entity. Therefore, the governance framework must be adaptable, allowing for flexibility in decision-making while ensuring compliance with regulatory standards (Bin-Armia, 2019).

The integration process can lead to significant shifts in power dynamics within the organisation. This shift can result in resistance from employees who may feel threatened by changes in management or organisational culture. It is crucial for leadership to actively engage with employees during this transition to mitigate potential unrest and to foster a sense of unity. As evidenced by various case studies, including the merger of two large banking institutions, failure to address these cultural complexities can result in diminished employee morale and productivity, ultimately jeopardising the success of the acquisition.

The regulatory landscape for banking acquisitions is characterised by a myriad of jurisdictional frameworks, each with its own set of rules and requirements. This diversity can create significant hurdles in regulatory coordination, particularly in cross-border acquisitions. Mathieu et al. (2021) highlight that the interplay between European Union regulations and national laws can lead to inconsistencies that complicate the approval process for mergers and acquisitions. The lack of a harmonised regulatory framework often results in delays and increased costs for firms seeking to navigate these complexities.

Moreover, the challenge of regulatory coordination is further compounded by the differing priorities of regulatory agencies across jurisdictions. For example, while some regulators may prioritise financial stability and consumer protection, others may focus on promoting competition and innovation. Silva and Guimaraes (2024) illustrate how these differing priorities can lead to clashes between regulatory agencies and courts, ultimately impacting the governance of financial institutions. The absence of a cohesive regulatory strategy can create an environment of uncertainty for firms contemplating cross-border acquisitions.

In practice, the implications of this regulatory fragmentation are evident in the banking sector, where firms must often engage in protracted negotiations with multiple regulatory bodies to secure approval for transactions. This not only increases the time and resources required for compliance but can also deter potential investors from pursuing cross-border opportunities. Otunba et al. (2024) propose a financial integration framework that aims to streamline the regulatory processes for cross-border M&As in banking and financial services, highlighting the need for collaborative efforts among regulatory agencies to facilitate smoother transactions.

Furthermore, the lack of regulatory coordination can lead to significant disparities in compliance costs, particularly for smaller firms that may lack the resources to navigate complex regulatory environments. This can create an uneven playing field, favouring larger institutions that can absorb the costs associated with compliance. The implications for competition in the banking sector are profound, as smaller entities may be forced to exit the market due to the burdensome nature of regulatory requirements.

Opportunities for Financial Integration

In recent years, the landscape of financial integration has been significantly shaped by banking acquisitions, which present substantial opportunities for enhancing cross-border financial integration. The globalisation of financial services has led to an increase in mergers and acquisitions (M&A) within the banking sector, enabling institutions to expand their operations beyond national borders. This trend is particularly evident in emerging markets, where foreign banks seek to capitalise on growth potential and diversify their portfolios (Wang, 2024). For instance, the acquisition of Brazil's Banco do Brasil by Spain's Banco Santander exemplifies how cross-border banking can enhance market reach and operational efficiency.

The implications of such acquisitions extend beyond mere market expansion; they foster greater capital mobility. As banks integrate operations across borders, they facilitate the movement of capital, which is essential for investment and economic growth. According to Aizenman and Pinto (2013), increased financial integration through banking acquisitions can lead to improved access to capital for businesses, particularly in developing regions. This access not only supports local economies but also contributes to the overall stability of the global financial system by diversifying risk.

Cross-border banking acquisitions can enhance the competitive landscape, driving innovation and improving service quality. For example, the merger between Deutsche Bank and Postbank in Germany created a more robust institution capable of offering a wider array of financial products and services (Şlusarciuc, 2015). This integration not only benefits consumers but also positions the merged entity to better compete in the global market, illustrating how acquisitions can lead to stronger financial institutions capable of navigating the complexities of international finance.

However, the potential for enhanced financial integration through banking acquisitions is not without challenges. Regulatory frameworks must evolve to accommodate the increasing complexity of cross-border operations. As noted by Furstenberg (1998), the regulatory environment can either facilitate or hinder the integration process. Policymakers must strike a balance between ensuring financial stability and fostering an environment conducive to M&A activities. This balancing act is crucial to harnessing the benefits of financial integration while mitigating risks associated with cross-border banking operations.

The implications of banking acquisitions for global capital mobility are profound, as they create a more interconnected financial landscape. With the integration of banking systems across borders, capital can flow more freely, enabling investors to tap into diverse markets. This increased mobility is critical for fostering economic growth and resilience, particularly in times of financial uncertainty. For instance, during the 2008 financial crisis, banks that had diversified their operations internationally were better positioned to absorb shocks and maintain stability (Aizenman & Pinto, 2013).

Banking acquisitions often lead to the optimisation of capital allocation. When banks merge or acquire other institutions, they can leverage their combined resources to invest in high-growth sectors, thereby stimulating economic development. For example, the acquisition of ING Direct by Capital One allowed for a more efficient allocation of capital towards digital banking initiatives, which have seen exponential growth in recent years (Wang, 2024). This case illustrates how strategic acquisitions can lead to enhanced sectoral growth, particularly in areas that align with evolving consumer preferences and technological advancements.

In addition to optimising capital allocation, banking acquisitions can also enhance financial inclusivity. By expanding their reach into underserved markets, acquiring banks can provide access to financial services for populations that were previously excluded. This is particularly relevant in emerging economies, where the demand for banking services is rapidly increasing. As highlighted by Şlusarciuc (2015), cross-border banking can facilitate the development of local financial ecosystems, thereby promoting broader economic participation and growth.

However, the benefits of increased capital mobility through banking acquisitions must be balanced against potential risks. The interconnectedness of global financial systems can lead to contagion effects, where crises in one region can rapidly spread to others. This necessitates robust regulatory oversight to ensure that banks maintain adequate capital buffers and risk management practices. Furstenberg (1998) emphasises the importance of developing a comprehensive regulatory framework that can adapt to the evolving nature of financial integration, thereby safeguarding against systemic risks.

Conclusion

The dynamics of banking acquisitions underscore their profound role in shaping the contemporary global financial sector. As the findings of this study suggest, acquisitions are more than simple corporate strategies for expansion; they serve as transformative mechanisms that restructure competitive landscapes, deepen cross-border integration, and create new opportunities for institutional growth. In this sense, banking acquisitions have proven instrumental in driving financial integration and enhancing the interconnectedness of global markets, thereby fostering pathways for innovation and efficiency. At the same time, however, their dual nature cannot be overlooked. While these transactions promote resilience and growth, they also introduce systemic vulnerabilities that, if left unchecked, pose significant risks to global financial stability. The challenge, therefore, lies in balancing the benefits of integration with the need to mitigate the potential dangers of over-concentration and contagion.

From a policy perspective, the implications of these findings are both urgent and far-reaching. The increasingly borderless nature of banking activities requires international governance structures that are not only harmonised but also adaptive to the complexities of modern finance. Stronger regulatory coordination across jurisdictions is essential to ensure that systemic risks do not undermine the potential gains of acquisitions. Moreover, proactive monitoring and early warning systems must be institutionalised to detect vulnerabilities before they evolve into crises. Regulators and policymakers must move beyond reactive approaches, adopting frameworks that safeguard against excesses while simultaneously enabling sustainable growth and innovation. Without such measures, the opportunities presented by banking acquisitions may inadvertently become sources of fragility in the global financial ecosystem (Bin-Armia, 2025).

This study also highlights several avenues for future research that merit close attention. One promising area is the rise of fintech-driven acquisitions, which are increasingly reshaping the structure of banking by merging technological innovation with traditional financial models. Understanding how these acquisitions alter systemic dynamics will be crucial for policymakers seeking to regulate an evolving landscape. Another important direction lies in assessing the role of acquisitions in promoting or hindering regulatory harmonisation, particularly in light of the challenges posed by fragmented governance structures. Additionally, future scholarship should provide more empirical evidence on how consolidation impacts systemic risk, financial stability, and the transmission of crises across interconnected markets. Such research would not only enrich academic discourse but also provide valuable guidance to regulators, investors, and policymakers.

In conclusion, banking acquisitions represent both a force for transformation and a source of vulnerability within the global financial sector. Their potential to reshape markets and foster integration is undeniable, but their systemic implications demand vigilant oversight, coordinated governance, and forward-looking research. Only through a careful balance of innovation and regulation can the global financial system harness the benefits of acquisitions while safeguarding against their inherent risks.

References

Aizenman, J., & Pinto, B. (2013). Managing Financial Integration and Capital Mobility—Policy lessons from the past two decades. *Review of International Economics*, *21*(4), 636-653.

Akinsola, K. (2025). Corporate Governance Challenges in Tech M&A: Board Oversight and Shareholder Rights. *Available at SSRN 5125960*.

Beck, T. (2016). Regulatory cooperation on cross-border banking–progress and challenges after the crisis. *National Institute Economic Review*, *235*(1), R40-R49.

Bin-Armia, M. S. (2019). Interest In Islam and Cross Religions. *Petita: Jurnal Kajian Ilmu Hukum Dan Syariah*, *4*(1), 55-68.

Bin-Armia, M. S. (2024). Why Should We Choose Shariah Fintech Over Shariah Banks: An Investment Analysis. *Jihbiz: Global Journal of Islamic Banking and Finance*, 6(1), 62-78.

Bin-Armia, M. S. (2025). How to Ascertain the Shariah Compliance on the Islamic Financial Product? A Study on Green Sukuk. *Sukuk: International Journal of Banking, Finance, Management and Business*, *4*(I), 55-67.

Celestin, M. (2025). The Role of International Business Law in Regulating Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions: Challenges and Opportunities for Global Corporations.

Cooke, F. L., Wood, G., Wang, M., & Li, A. S. (2021). Riding the tides of mergers and acquisitions by building a resilient workforce: A framework for studying the role of human resource management. *Human Resource Management Review*, *31*(3), 100747.

Darayseh, M., & Alsharari, N. M. (2023). Determinants of merger and acquisition in the banking sector: an empirical study. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, *31*(4), 1093-1108.

Di Giovanni, J. (2005). What drives capital flows? The case of cross-border M&A activity and financial deepening. *Journal of international Economics*, *65*(1), 127-149.

Furstenberg, G. M. V. (1998). From worldwide capital mobility to international financial integration: a review essay. *Open Economies Review*, 9(1), 53-84.

Gallo, Gabriele. *Mergers and Acquisitions in the European and Italian Banking Sector: An Analysis of Trends and Impacts*. Diss. Politecnico di Torino, 2023.

Geretto, E. (2010). M&As in banking: a literature review. *Consolidation in the European Financial Industry*, 17-35.

Goergen, M., Mallin, C. A., Mitleton-Kelly, E., Al-Hawamdeh, A., & Chiu, I. H. Y. (2010). Corporate governance and complexity theory. In *Corporate Governance and Complexity Theory*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Huang, X., Yang, H., & Yang, P. (2024). The Impact of Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions on Corporate Organisational Resilience: Insights from Dynamic Capability Theory. *Sustainability*, *16*(6), 2242.

Ingves, S. (2007). Cross-border banking regulation—A way forward: The European case. In *International financial instability: Global banking and national regulation* (pp. 3-11).

Kwon, K. Y., Molyneux, P., Pancotto, L., & Reghezza, A. (2024). Banks and FinTech acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, *65*(1), 41-75.

Kyriazopoulos, G. (2024). Mergers and acquisitions bank strategy against systematic risk before the COVID-19 pandemic. *Corporate & Business Strategy Review*, *5*(2), 163-174.

La, D. M. The Impact of Mergers and Acquisitions Activities on the Financial Stability of Commercial Banks in ASEAN Countries.

Liang, Y. (2025). Financial Legal Risks and Prevention Mechanisms in Cross-Border Mergers and Acquisitions: A Systemic Analysis. *Law and Economy*, *4*(4), 18-27.

Mathieu, E., Matthys, J., Verhoest, K., & Rommel, J. (2021). Multilevel regulatory coordination: The interplay between European Union, federal and regional regulatory agencies. *Public policy and administration*, *36*(3), 343-360.

Naqvi, N. (2023). Economic crisis, global financial cycles and state control of finance: public development banking in Brazil and South Africa. *European Journal of International Relations*, *29*(2), 283-318.

Otunba, M., Adenuga, A. A., Sikiru, A. O., & Gaffar, O. (2024). A Financial Integration Framework for Cross-Border M and A in Banking and Financial Services: A Multi-Continent Approach. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Humanities and Social Sciences*, *1*(2), 275-296

Rao-Nicholson, R., & Salaber, J. (2016). Impact of the financial crisis on cross-border mergers and acquisitions and concentration in the global banking industry. *Thunderbird international business review*, *58*(2), 161-173.

Renn, O., Laubichler, M., Lucas, K., Kröger, W., Schanze, J., Scholz, R. W., & Schweizer, P. J. (2022). Systemic risks from different perspectives. *Risk analysis*, *42*(9), 1902-1920.

Rodney, K. (2024). Analysing M&A performance Using CAPM to Evaluate the Acquiror's systematic risk in investment strategies.

Silva, J., & Guimaraes, T. A. (2024). Clashes and agreements between regulatory agencies and courts: The influence on regulatory governance. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, *90*(3), 529-545.

Şlusarciuc, M. (2015). The economic potential of crossborder areas. Opportunities and threats. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, *32*, 801-808.

Sun, P., Doh, J. P., Rajwani, T., & Siegel, D. (2021). Navigating cross-border institutional complexity: A review and assessment of multinational nonmarket strategy research. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *52*(9), 1818.

Tampakoudis, I., Nerantzidis, M., Soubeniotis, D., & Soutsas, A. (2018). The effect of corporate governance mechanisms on European Mergers and Acquisitions. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, *18*(5), 965-986.

Tanna, S., & Yousef, I. (2019). Mergers and acquisitions: Implications for acquirers' market risk. *Managerial Finance*, *45*(4), 545-562.

Vaghefi, F. (2019). *Essays on Bank Acquisitions and Systemic Risk* (Doctoral dissertation, City University of New York).

Walter, I. (2004). *Mergers and acquisitions in banking and finance: what works, what fails, and why*. Oxford University Press.

Wang, X. (2024). Cross-border Asset Allocation Strategies and Global Capital Market Integration. *Journal of Business and Marketing*, *1*(03), 121-125.

Wójcik, D., Keenan, L., Pažitka, V., Urban, M., & Wu, W. (2022). The changing landscape of international financial centers in the twenty-first century: Cross-border mergers and acquisitions in the global financial network. *Economic Geography*, *98*(2), 97-118.